

1 **About the Draft Vision**

2
3 *Conserving the Future: Wildlife Refuges and the Next Generation* is a national effort to craft a
4 renewed vision for the National Wildlife Refuge System. The work of five core teams of U.S.
5 Fish and Wildlife Service employees has been consolidated into this single, draft vision
6 document for your comment and review until Earth Day – April 22, 2011. To learn more about
7 the vision process, visit <http://americaswildlife.org/about/>.

8
9 The transparency and collaborative spirit of this process has been possible through the power of
10 partnership. The National Wildlife Refuge Association (NWRA) developed an interactive
11 website (<http://americaswildlife.org>) as one means to openly engage diverse audiences to take
12 part in lively conversations about the core teams’ focal areas, even as the core teams were
13 writing their draft recommendations. The Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies (AFWA) is
14 an organization of State fish and wildlife agencies that promotes sound management and
15 conservation. They speak with a collective voice on important fish and wildlife issues and they
16 agreed to have the Chair of their Federal and Tribal Relations Committee serve on the Steering
17 Committee for *Conserving the Future* along with the National Wildlife Refuge Association.

18
19 This draft vision document begins with a look back at the Refuge System’s history of dealing
20 with urgent conservation challenges through innovation, perseverance and leadership. It goes on
21 to describe how the challenges of a changing planet and America affect the Refuge System’s
22 conservation work and mission. Chapter 2 explains the vision for planning, designing, and
23 delivering strategic conservation in the future. Chapter 3 focuses on the importance of
24 conservation science in managing the Refuge System. Chapter 4 – called Human - Nature –
25 recommends how the Refuge System can connect people with America’s great outdoors and
26 engage them in the stewardship of their Refuge System. Chapter 5 lays out recommendations for
27 organizational excellence, and Chapter 6 addresses the need to ensure that leadership
28 development keeps pace with the challenges facing the Service. The draft vision does not include
29 a conclusion. A final chapter summarizing the a call to action will be written only after the
30 critical input from reviewers like you when the revised draft vision is published in July of 2011.

31
32
33 **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

34
35 **About the Draft Vision** 1
36
37 **Chapter 1: Introduction** 3
38 **A Changing America** 5
39
40 **Chapter 2: Conserving the Future**
41 **Strategically Conserving Fish and Wildlife** 7
42 **Delivering Fish and Wildlife Conservation** 8
43 **Strategic Growth** 10
44 **Protecting Wildlife: The Role of Conservation Law Enforcement** 12
45 **Managing Wildlife Refuges for Biological Integrity, Diversity and Environmental**
46 **Health** 13

1	Managing Refuges to Support Ecological Resilience and Climate Adaptation	13
2	Issues, Concerns, and Systemic Challenges in Managing for Biological Integrity, Diversity	
3	and Environmental Health.....	15
4	Fire Management and Emergency Preparedness	15
5	Farming.....	16
6	Water Supplies and Aquatic Ecosystems.....	16
7	Working Beyond Wildlife Refuge Boundaries.....	17
8	Ocean and Marine Conservation.....	19
9	Invasive Species.....	20
10	Wilderness Stewardship.....	21
11	International Connections.....	21
12	Comprehensive Conservation Planning 2.0.....	22
13		
14	Chapter 3: Conservation Science and the Refuge System	24
15	Science-based Wildlife and Habitat Management.....	25
16	Robust Inventory and Monitoring	26
17	Deliberate Research.....	27
18	Communication, Collaboration, and Contribution in Science	28
19		
20	Chapter 4: Human – Nature	30
21	Connecting People with Nature.....	30
22	Welcome to Your National Wildlife Refuge.....	31
23	Broadening Refuge Visitation and Use.....	34
24	A Sense of Place, a Sense of Community	35
25	The Next Generation of Conservationists.....	37
26	School Partnerships and the Future of Environmental Education	38
27	Communicating the Benefits of Nature.....	40
28		
29	Chapter 5: Organizational Excellence	43
30	Organizational Structure.....	43
31	Increased Productivity.....	45
32	The Right Training for the 21st Century.....	46
33	Transfer of Intellectual Capital.....	47
34	Greening Wildlife Refuge Infrastructure and Operations.....	48
35	Workforce of the Future	49
36	A Diverse and Inclusive Workforce	50
37		
38	Chapter 6: Leadership in a Landscape of Change	52
39	Leadership Excellence	52
40	Conservation Leadership.....	53
41	Developing Others.....	54
42	Managing People.....	56
43	Expanding the Leadership Horizon	56



1 **Chapter 1**
2 **Introduction**

3
4 *Wild beasts and birds are by right not the property merely of the people who are alive today, but*
5 *the property of unborn generations, whose belongings we have no right to squander.*

6 President Theodore Roosevelt
7

8 The course of American conservation changed dramatically as the 20th century dawned, when
9 Paul Kroegel – appalled by the slaughter of brown pelicans for their fashionable feathers –
10 decided to take action. Kroegel was a German immigrant, boat builder, and citrus grower who
11 lived across the Indian River Lagoon from a 5- acre island where the birds nested. The situation
12 was urgent; the colonies were on the brink of destruction. He patrolled the island’s shores with
13 his shotgun trying to safeguard the nesting birds. He talked to anyone who would listen, trying to
14 rally support for the protection of the birds and this special place. He had the ear of some
15 prominent ornithologists and they knew another man with a passionate interest in birds, the
16 young President Theodore Roosevelt.
17

18 In a story that would be repeated many times over the next century and beyond, the passionate
19 advocacy of a single citizen responding to an urgent conservation need was successful. On
20 March 14, 1903 President Roosevelt established Pelican Island as the first national wildlife
21 refuge.
22

23 He also established our nation’s first waterfowl refuge, Lower Klamath, in 1908. Spurred by the
24 organization that he co-founded, the Boone and Crockett Club, Roosevelt also made sure the
25 early Refuge System provided habitat and management for big game animals that had been
26 depleted on public lands.
27

28 Over the course of his presidency, Roosevelt established 53 refuges, from Key West’s mangrove
29 islands and sand flats to Flattery Rocks along the Washington Coast, where 150,000 pelagic
30 birds nest and migrating birds sometimes swell the population to over one million. A new
31 concept, protecting a system of wild lands for wildlife, was born.
32

33 Today, the Refuge System has evolved into the nation’s most extensive network of public lands
34 and waters dedicated to the conservation of wildlife species and their habitat. Its 553 national
35 wildlife refuges and 38 wetland management districts support at least 700 species of birds, 220
36 mammals, 250 reptiles and amphibians, more than 1,000 species of fish and countless
37 invertebrates and plants In an ever changing world, wildlife refuges have proven to be anchors
38 for biodiversity and ecosystem conservation.
39

40 In America’s increasingly urban world, these islands of natural beauty offer Americans places to
41 soothe or stir the soul, educate the mind and enjoy outdoor, wildlife-dependent recreation,
42 including fishing, hunting, wildlife observation, birding and photography.
43

44 Refuges assist communities too in the restoration of millions of acres of depleted lands, relieving
45 regional flooding by protecting wetlands, improving water quality, and helping private
46 landowners utilize conservation protocols on their own lands. According to the last estimate in



1 2004, national wildlife refuges generated nearly \$1.7 billion in economic activity and created
2 27,000 private sector jobs. The value of the Refuge System’s ecosystem services — such as
3 improved soil and water quality in neighboring communities — has been estimated at almost \$27
4 billion per year.

5
6 The conservation dream of 1903 is being fulfilled every day across the country. National wildlife
7 refuges stretch from the southern Caribbean to the northernmost tip of Alaska and more than
8 halfway to Japan. They range from small urban oases to remote Pacific Islands; former military
9 ranges to Arctic estuaries; tropical lagoons to deserts, tundras, salt marshes, seashores and
10 forests. At least twenty million acres are designated wilderness — natural areas undisturbed by
11 human activity and allowed to remain truly wild places.

12
13 National wildlife refuges sustain nearly 300 of the nation’s more than 1,300 endangered or
14 threatened species; 59 refuges were established specifically for endangered species. Refuges
15 have been instrumental in the recovery of several species, including the bald eagle, Kirtland’s
16 warbler, the brown pelican, Key deer and the American alligator.

17
18 Another 200 wildlife refuges were established to protect migratory birds. Many wildlife refuges
19 along the four major north-south flyways hold festivals to celebrate the seasonal arrival of snow
20 geese, tundra swans, sandhill cranes, shorebirds and songbirds; these refuge festivals provide a
21 major economic boost to their communities. More than 3,000 waterfowl production areas —
22 primarily in the Prairie Pothole regions of Minnesota and the Dakotas — offer habitat for a vast
23 variety of waterfowl, shorebirds, grassland birds, plants and insects.

24
25 The Refuge System; however, does not operate in isolation. The Service cooperates closely with
26 State fish and wildlife agencies in planning and administering the Refuge System. Both the
27 Service and the State fish and wildlife agencies have authorities and responsibilities for
28 management of fish and wildlife on national wildlife refuges. Effective conservation of fish,
29 wildlife, plants and their habitats depends on the professional relationship between managers at
30 the State and Federal level. The Service acknowledges the unique expertise and role of State fish
31 and wildlife agencies in the management of fish and wildlife.

32
33 The Refuge System also depends on the expertise of many partners in the conservation
34 community including federal land management agencies, other federal conservation agencies,
35 tribes, Friends organizations, and numerous non-governmental organizations with varying
36 interests. Without these partners, the Refuge System would be unable to accomplish a great deal
37 of its conservation work.

38
39 The Refuge System works to foster public understanding and appreciation of the natural world
40 through wildlife-oriented recreation. The National Wildlife Refuge System Improvement Act of
41 1997 gives priority to recreational activities that depend on wildlife: hunting, fishing, wildlife
42 observation, photography, interpretation, and environmental education. Hunting is offered at
43 more than 300 national wildlife refuges, and quality fishing is available on more than 270
44 wildlife refuges. Sometimes called America’s “first conservationists”, hunters and anglers have
45 played a role in the conservation of the nation’s fish and wildlife resources since the late 19th
46 century. They have been longtime partners of the Refuge System, and will continue to be so as



1 long as there is a National Wildlife Refuge System. Fishing opportunities in the Refuge System
2 represent virtually every type of sport fishing on the continent. From inconnu and grayling in
3 remote Alaska to snook hovering by mangroves in Florida's Ten Thousand Islands, national
4 wildlife refuges offer anglers adventure and diversity.

5
6 The number of people engaged in wildlife observation on wildlife refuges – especially birding
7 and photography – are on the rise. Every year, thousands of schoolchildren and their teachers
8 visit national wildlife refuges to learn about conservation firsthand and discover the joy and
9 mystery of the natural world. Through environmental education and interpretation, wildlife
10 refuges nurture a sense of wonder in Americans of all ages. Wildlife refuges are also repositories
11 of the nation's archeological and cultural heritage, with protected historic sites on wildlife
12 refuges offering visitors glimpses of life in other eras.

13 14 **A Changing America**

15
16 In developing the National Wildlife Refuge System's strategic direction for the next decade, the
17 Service and the public it serves must understand where America has been and where it is going
18 — demographically, socially, and economically. Much has changed since 1999 when the Refuge
19 System last examined its future at this scale.

20
21 A growing number of the people in American and global societies see less of a direct link
22 between the natural world and their food, water, and quality of life, but this does not make
23 conservation less relevant today than it was in President Roosevelt's era or at other times in
24 history when people rallied to save nature. This disconnection demands innovative and dynamic
25 ways to increase society's conservation literacy, to connect people to nature and to communicate
26 why the collective conservation mission is more relevant today than ever before.

27
28 The United States population has increased more than 14 percent since 1998. As U.S. residents
29 become increasingly concentrated in cities and mega-cities, urban sprawl is fragmenting more
30 habitat. By 2050, the U.S. population is projected to grow to 392 million.

31
32 The United States is more racially and ethnically diverse. Groups that have historically been
33 considered minorities are projected to be the majority by 2042. Hispanics are the fastest growing
34 group, expected to make up a third of the population by 2050. One of eight Americans is African
35 American.

36
37 The nation is also aging. By 2030 nearly one in five residents will be 65 or older. By 2050
38 Americans 65 and older will exceed 80 million. But the "baby boomer" generation, the first of
39 who turned 65 in 2011, is different than those who turned that same age generations ago. They
40 are determined to stay active, to try new adventures and to make a difference for society.

41
42 Demographic shifts are intersecting with other major trends. Among the most profound is
43 accelerating climate change, fueled by rapid world population growth. These changes are
44 evidenced by rising sea levels, loss of coastal wetlands, more incidents of flooding and droughts,
45 and the growth of non-native species that crowd out native organisms in ecosystems once
46 thought outside their range.



1
2 Social changes have also been profound. The pervasive spread of portable, wireless
3 communication devices and a culture of nonstop social networking have changed the social
4 fabric. The American people are less connected with America's great outdoors. While
5 environmental threats still resonate on some level — witness public outcry over the 2010
6 Deepwater Horizon oil spill in the Gulf of Mexico — many citizens feel that conservation threats
7 are a distant concern. The Refuge System's challenge is to make wildlife refuges relevant to
8 citizens' lives.

9
10 Being relevant to America demands the delivery of lasting benefits to all of America's citizens
11 while at the same time ensuring representation of all of her citizens appropriately as The Service
12 works within the broader global context. The Service needs to stand ready to meet opportunities
13 with appropriate and immediate action, renewed focus, flexibility, and creative initiatives that are
14 responsive to change. The Service must be prepared to learn new strategies, exchange models
15 and insights, and effectively manage the Refuge System for a rapidly changing America.

DRAFT



1 **Chapter 2**
2 **Conserving the Future**

3
4 **Strategically Conserving Fish and Wildlife**

5
6 Climate change, habitat degradation and fragmentation, declines in water quality and quantity,
7 the spread of invasive species, and ocean acidification are among the many stressors putting
8 unparalleled pressures on the nation’s ecosystems. There has never been a more critical time for
9 the conservation community to work together to develop landscape-level conservation strategies.
10 Land managers are more frequently facing the difficult task of identifying lands that can be
11 saved by timely, concerted action; those that will likely recover on their own; and those that no
12 amount of effort will save. In these situations, managers must often answer questions, such as,
13 should we attempt to maintain freshwater wetlands in the face of salt-water intrusion, or would
14 the wildlife refuge’s limited resources be better spent enhancing the ecological resilience of
15 upland habitats to climate change? Such trade-offs in conservation are nothing new, of course,
16 but are likely to become ever more urgent in the coming years. The need for collaborative,
17 scientifically based and proactive conservation planning and design has long been seen as
18 essential. During the early implementation phase of *Fulfilling the Promise*, several teams
19 assessed how wildlife refuges should plan for wildlife, habitat and biodiversity goals at multiple
20 spatial scales. Their important “goals report” made clear that such an endeavor would have
21 implications throughout the landscape. Nearly a decade ago, the teams concluded that it made
22 little sense for the Refuge System to undertake such an effort on its own. Several of the report’s
23 authors joined with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service colleagues to form a National Ecological
24 Assessment Team, which examined the subject more broadly. Their work resulted in the June
25 2006 report, “Strategic Habitat Conservation,” which serves as the scientific blueprint and
26 methodology for the Service’s current conservation efforts.

27
28 Strategic Habitat Conservation is a science-based framework for making management decisions
29 about where and how to deliver conservation effectively to achieve specific biological outcomes.
30 It is a feedback loop that starts with assumption-based research that feeds biological planning
31 and then moves into conservation design; conservation delivery; inventory and monitoring; and
32 back again to inform biological planning. The principles of Strategic Habitat Conservation are
33 being used in implementing the Service’s climate change strategy, *Rising to the Urgent*
34 *Challenge: Strategic Plan for Responding to Accelerating Climate Change*. This plan establishes
35 a basic framework within which the Service will work as part of a larger conservation
36 community to help ensure the sustainability of fish, wildlife, plants and habitats in the face of
37 accelerating climate change. A key component of the plan is development of Landscape
38 Conservation Cooperatives, a national network of 21 self-directed partnerships. They are to
39 provide shared science capacity to inform resource management actions addressing climate
40 change and other stressors within and across landscapes.

41
42 The *Strategic Habitat Conservation* report recognized that the Service has considerable capacity
43 for conservation delivery. It focused primarily on the undeveloped capacity for biological
44 planning, conservation design and targeted research and monitoring. But the report also
45 recognized that “delivery of conservation actions” would be the subject of ample future
46 discussion, including the changing role of the Service in collaborative conservation.



1
2 The discussion now turns to how the Service can continue to use the National Wildlife Refuge
3 System – and its impressive array of conservation tools – to deliver conservation on the ground,
4 and how, in light of numerous changes on the landscape, that delivery will differ from the past.
5

6 **Delivering Fish and Wildlife Conservation**

7

8 Wildlife conservation on national wildlife refuges requires carefully designed protection and
9 planned management of fish and wildlife populations and habitat to assure that biological
10 diversity, integrity and environmental health are sustained. Conservation delivery means taking
11 action on the ground to protect, restore and enhance fish, wildlife and plant populations and their
12 habitats. It may sound simple, but nothing could be more complex.
13

14 Conservation delivery is not a new concept, but the job has grown in complexity because of
15 unprecedented habitat fragmentation, invasive species, climate change impacts, and other
16 stressors. Conservation professionals must contend with the endless variability and
17 interdependence of ecological systems, and work to achieve positive conservation outcomes with
18 information that will always be incomplete. Conservation professionals will require skill,
19 adaptability and the capacity for innovation to meet such threats.
20

21 For conservation delivery systems to meet the challenges of the present and future, the traditional
22 strengths of the National Wildlife Refuge System – a spectacular diversity of lands and waters
23 fortified by a dedicated workforce – must be enhanced. So, too, must the Refuge System’s
24 scientific capacity, operational flexibility and practical innovation be strengthened. Conservation
25 delivery is where biological planning and conservation design come together, and action is taken.
26 The urgency for action has never been greater.
27

28 Ever since Pelican Island was established as America’s first national wildlife refuge in 1903, the
29 Refuge System has grown, sometimes haphazardly and opportunistically. Growth has benefited
30 species and habitat most when goals are clear, such as protecting and restoring waterfowl
31 populations along the flyways or the protection of an endangered species.
32

33 Land protection strategies have evolved to recognize that multiple ecological scales must be
34 considered. Future conservation efforts will still require core protected areas, but strategies must
35 include linking and buffering core areas with working landscapes that consider conservation
36 practices. Maximizing sustainable populations of fish and wildlife at larger geographic scales
37 requires they be managed in conjunction with the adjoining mosaic of land.
38

39 **Recommendation:** All future land protection strategies should incorporate local,
40 landscape and other necessary ecological scales and emphasize the importance of
41 working lands in the surrounding landscape.
42

43 It is now widely recognized that partnerships are essential to configure a conservation landscape
44 large enough to protect fish and wildlife. The Refuge System is a vital and irreplaceable element
45 of the conservation estate, but it cannot protect all ecosystems and all species alone. Partnerships
46 and collaboration are essential to extend conservation delivery work beyond boundaries and



1 across the landscape. The variety of potential partners is nearly limitless, but must include
2 federal agencies, states, tribes, a diverse spectrum of non-governmental organizations, and
3 Refuge Friends organizations.

4
5 One example of a collaborative, landscape-scale conservation success is the Blackfoot Challenge
6 in Montana. The Blackfoot Challenge is a grassroots group organized to coordinate management
7 of the Blackfoot River, its tributaries and 1.5 million acres of adjacent lands. While the group has
8 no formal membership, it consists of numerous private landowners, federal and state agency
9 representatives, including a number of national wildlife refuges, local government officials and
10 several corporate landowners. Together, the participants work to enhance, conserve and protect
11 the natural resources and rural lifestyle of the Blackfoot River Valley for present and future
12 generations. The Blackfoot Challenge supports environmentally responsible resource
13 stewardship through the cooperation of public and private interests.

14
15 Land protection strategies for the future must be devised to place protected areas in the context
16 of the greater surrounding landscape and consider the role of working lands, like ranches and
17 farms. Efforts must focus on representation of ecological communities in protected areas, of
18 adequate size and connectivity to other protected areas. The Service, in partnership with others,
19 must work with a dual focus of protecting both the areas under highest threat today and those
20 with relatively intact biodiversity. Conservation in the future must include not only public lands
21 but the important roles of working ranches, farms and forests, as well as privately owned
22 recreational properties with conservation provisions that buffer and link protected areas.
23 Not all new wildlife refuges or refuge expansions must involve land acquisitions. The vast
24 majority of the Refuge System, as well as the National Park and Forest systems, came from the
25 withdrawal of lands from unreserved public domain. Planners should consider whether
26 withdrawal of unreserved public domain for wildlife conservation purposes as national wildlife
27 refuges can increase the size, connectivity, redundancy and representation of the conservation
28 estate and contribute to climate change adaptation.

29
30 Landscape Conservation Cooperatives will help inform future land protection efforts via
31 Strategic Habitat Conservation and the National Fish and Wildlife Climate Adaptation Strategy.
32 Both efforts endorse a unified approach to reducing the negative impacts of climate change,
33 setting conservation targets, identifying gaps in the conservation landscape, designing a network
34 of planned conservation areas, implementing priority conservation measures, monitoring, and
35 adapting future strategies based on cooperative action. But future land protection planning is
36 hampered by the lack of an integrated strategy for accomplishing the varying missions of the
37 land management agencies. Others in the conservation community have developed like-minded,
38 adaptive management models, which present an opportunity to integrate efforts and further
39 establish common ground upon which to act.

40
41 The Refuge System must move quickly to participate in a collaborative landscape-level strategy
42 for the National Wildlife Refuge System that can effectively address the most challenging and
43 pervasive 21st century threats to biodiversity, such as climate change, urban development, habitat
44 loss and fragmentation, and invasive species. The landscape strategy must transcend the Refuge
45 System. A National Conservation Strategy for the Refuge System involves working with partners
46 and local communities to develop and implement innovative conservation techniques across



1 federal, state, public, and privately owned lands that effectively and efficiently protect and
2 manage species, habitats, and ecological services.

3
4 Recommendation: Work collaboratively to develop a National Conservation Strategy for
5 the National Wildlife Refuge System.
6

7 Under this strategy and working with partners, the Refuge System must use the best available
8 science to identify local, national, and global conservation priorities and link these with clear
9 conservation goals and objectives across various temporal and spatial scales. The process takes
10 into consideration how priorities fit into a policy and institutional context. The Refuge System
11 should establish a mechanism to revise or reassess priorities at regular intervals.
12

13 The national strategy will ensure implementation of management actions that transcend
14 jurisdiction. The Refuge System encourages collaboration and teamwork among the Service,
15 state and federal agencies, and other partners to maximize effectiveness of species and habitat
16 conservation and stewardship across the landscape. Successful implementation of the National
17 Conservation Strategy must involve partner agencies, organizations, local communities, and
18 other stakeholders at all stages of the process, from priority setting to implementation and
19 evaluation.
20

21 The national strategy should include 1) identifying conservation targets (species, habitats,
22 connectivity, biological redundancies, and ecological services), 2) collecting information,
23 assessing existing conservation areas based on biodiversity values and conservation targets, and
24 identifying gaps, 3) setting bold conservation goals and priorities, 4) evaluating the viability and
25 integrity of conservation targets, 5) selecting and designing a network of conservation areas that
26 preserves these conservation targets and contributes to meeting conservation goals (both as a part
27 of the Refuge System and external to the Refuge System), 6) assessing threats and setting
28 priorities, and 7) evaluating and monitoring the process to ensure the strategy is meeting program
29 objectives.
30

31 **Strategic Growth**

32

33 While the nation has amassed impressive lands that benefit wildlife, including national wildlife
34 refuges, national parks, national forests, state protected areas, and others, current human
35 population and development trends threaten to overwhelm the value many of these habitats
36 currently hold for wildlife and ecosystem integrity. By 2050, the U.S. population is expected to
37 reach nearly 400 million, each of who require water, housing, roads, stores, and huge tracts of
38 land to grow food and to recreate. Many of America's natural areas exist as parcels surrounded
39 by land or water unsuitable for most wildlife; an arrangement that is not sustainable if the goal is
40 to conserve as many species and habitats as possible. In recognition of these serious threats, the
41 Refuge System embraces and is enthusiastically committed to the progressive language of the
42 Refuge System Improvement Act, which calls for "the continued growth of the System in a
43 manner that is best designed to accomplish the mission of the System, to contribute to the
44 conservation of the ecosystems of the United States, to complement efforts of States and other
45 Federal agencies to conserve fish and wildlife and their habitats, and to increase support for the
46 System and participation from conservation partners and the public."



1
2 Moving forward, the Service’s attention to strategic growth will be on a scale commensurate
3 with the seriousness of the development trends that threaten to undermine more than a century’s
4 worth of conservation efforts. In the context of land acquisition and other on-the-ground
5 conservation strategies, the people of the Service will act with a sense of urgency, as critical
6 pieces of the conservation estate are disappearing with each passing day. The Service must move
7 quickly toward a collaborative landscape-level strategy that can effectively address the most
8 challenging and pervasive 21st century threats to biodiversity.
9

10 Strategic growth of the Refuge System begins with creating a prioritized blueprint for acquisition
11 based on a combination of completing existing refuge acquisitions and developing new
12 acquisition projects in focal areas. New acquisition projects will be developed in conjunction
13 with partners and with a commitment to focus conservation in the highest priority areas.
14

15 The Refuge System will develop an adaptive prioritization model that considers the various and
16 oft-changing factors that affect the most important conservation targets. This need, which is
17 currently filled by the Land Acquisition Prioritization System (LAPS), will be better met by
18 including evaluations of a potential acquisition parcel’s role in a regional and ecological context,
19 analyses of the changes an area may experience due to climatic shifts, and a sort of “urgency
20 index,” or how imminent the threat is to any particular parcel. These, along with other important
21 considerations, such as the opportunity for partnerships and public education, and any perceived
22 social or economic barriers, will help the Refuge System develop an indispensable decision
23 analysis model that informs a truly national conservation vision.
24

25 The results of this prioritization model will be used to implement a new progressive policy and
26 implementation plan that guides the land conservation approaches of the Refuge System.
27 Species, water, fire, and other crucial ecological services do not recognize human land
28 boundaries. National wildlife refuges serve as anchors for biodiversity and often represent the
29 last stronghold for an endangered species or a diminished habitat type, such as tallgrass prairie.
30 Minimizing threats to species of conservation concern and key ecosystem processes requires
31 strategies of preserving large areas and maintaining landscape connectivity, in addition to
32 creating and maintaining biological redundancies throughout the system. Refuges must look
33 beyond their borders, work with partners, and think critically about the pressing issues affecting
34 the species and ecosystems the Service and its partners strive to conserve. The time to act is now.
35

36 Recommendation: Finalize a policy and implementation plan to guide land conservation
37 efforts of the Refuge System.
38

39 Recommendation: Complete an overhaul to the Land Acquisition Prioritization System to
40 develop an adaptive prioritization model that helps determine the relative importance of
41 potential land acquisition projects, both in completing existing acquisition projects and in
42 beginning new ones.
43
44



1 **Protecting Wildlife: The Role of Conservation Law Enforcement**

2
3 The Refuge Law Enforcement program is crucial. Refuge Law Enforcement officers are often
4 the first – and sometimes the only – staff that a visitor meets on a national wildlife refuge.
5 Moreover, Refuge Law Enforcement officers are on the front lines of conservation delivery,
6 putting their lives at risk to protect wildlife and habitats, on wildlife refuges and on lands beyond
7 the boundaries, and the people who use them. Officer safety, a top priority for the Refuge
8 System, has advanced through ensuring that officers have the training, experience and equipment
9 to do their jobs. Refuge Law Enforcement Officers enforce the law, regulations, and policy first
10 and foremost, but also look for teachable moments and educate the public on the importance and
11 relevance of conservation.

12
13 The Refuge System considers the top-to-bottom review of Refuge Law Enforcement by outside
14 experts another significant accomplishment in the last decade. A report from the International
15 Association of Chiefs of Police and a second Department of the Interior-wide review by the
16 Inspector General resulted in mandates from the Secretary of the Interior to reform many aspects
17 of the law enforcement program. The Refuge System is in the midst of implementing systemic
18 changes in its law enforcement program including: developing policy and an organizational
19 structure at headquarters, regions, zones and the field to adequately manage a law enforcement
20 program; shifting to a cadre of all full-time officers; enhancing training for officers and law
21 enforcement managers; developing an electronic case management system and using centralized
22 recruitment and hiring.

23
24 In implementing these systemic changes, the Refuge System has also emphasized the importance
25 of the conservation work that Refuge Law Enforcement Officers do. The Refuge Officer Basic
26 School has been expanded to include waterfowl identification, hunting compliance scenarios,
27 tracking and other resource-based enforcement classes. The Field Training and Evaluation
28 Program has also been expanded to add thirty tasks to the field task book that directly relate to
29 resource protection and investigations. These and other changes enable the law enforcement
30 program to continue to develop strong conservation law enforcement officers.

31
32 Conservation law enforcement has been the first step in effective wildlife refuge management
33 since Paul Kroegel patrolled the nesting bird colonies at Pelican Island more than a century ago.
34 If wildlife refuges do not provide for basic public safety and resource protection, they will not
35 succeed in accomplishing their purposes and the mission of the Refuge System. Any refuge
36 officer in the Prairie Pothole regions can describe what will happen if wetland easements are not
37 patrolled and laws not enforced. The habitat will be lost and the ducks will suffer.

38
39 **Recommendation:** Complete law enforcement reforms and staff wildlife refuges with
40 sufficient officers to protect wildlife and habitat and make refuges safe places for staff
41 and visitors.

42
43 Setting aside land and water for the protection of wildlife along with enforcing conservation laws
44 are the oldest foundations of conservation delivery. They remain essential, but much else has
45 changed.



1 **Managing Wildlife Refuges for Biological Integrity, Diversity and Environmental Health**

2
3 The ecological crisis of the Dust Bowl in the 1930s caused a dramatic change in conservation
4 strategies. In response to the impacts of massive soil erosion, new agricultural techniques were
5 developed to conserve soil and water. These strategies and techniques had great implications for
6 other conservation programs that would restore land health. In 1933, Aldo Leopold incorporated
7 these new conservation paradigms into his classic book, *Game Management*, and a new
8 profession was born. Throughout the 1930s, the Civilian Conservation Corps reclaimed degraded
9 lands through reforestation and creation of managed wetland impoundments on 35 refuges in 25
10 states.

11
12 Over the next few decades a wide array of wildlife and habitat management techniques were
13 developed to address numerous ecological challenges. Sometimes a utilitarian approach to
14 wildlife management missed underlying ecological principles that were not yet well understood.
15 So, freshwater impoundments were developed in some coastal wetlands, and some bottomland
16 hardwoods were cleared to grow agricultural crops to feed the ducks and geese.

17
18 Modern science has enhanced understanding of ecosystem functions and how they can inform
19 wildlife and habitat management. The Refuge System Improvement Act incorporated a new
20 standard for management in the law: refuges are to be managed to protect and maintain
21 biological integrity, diversity and environmental health.

22
23 The Service implemented a policy in 2001 that requires wildlife management to strive to mimic
24 natural processes to protect: biological diversity at multiple scales; natural ecosystem functions;
25 and clean water, air and soils on wildlife refuges. The policy also tells managers of wildlife
26 refuges to address threats and stressors that originate from beyond their boundaries. Explicitly
27 recognized in the policy is the reality that many wildlife refuges are islands in highly fragmented
28 landscapes and they require intensive management to meet their purposes. Techniques ranging
29 from fire to flooding, and from farming to grazing, and maintaining wilderness character are
30 used on different refuges in differing circumstances to accomplish policy goals.

31 **Managing Refuges to Support Ecological Resilience and Climate Adaptation**

32
33
34 A rapidly changing climate is magnifying existing environmental stressors such as habitat loss
35 and fragmentation, water quality and quantity, and the spread of invasive species. Climate
36 change is impacting ecosystems everywhere, regardless of protected status. Firmly rooted in
37 sound science, an adaptive, landscape-scale conservation approach and collaboration with others,
38 the Service's 2010 *Strategic Plan for Responding to Accelerating Climate Change* is a call to
39 arms and a clear roadmap for action:

40
41 "We must act boldly with the information we have, confident that we will learn
42 and adapt as we go. And most importantly, we must act now, as if the future of
43 fish and wildlife and people hangs in the balance — for indeed, all indications are
44 that it does."
45



1 The protection and management of wildlife refuge lands and waters to maintain biological
2 integrity, diversity and environmental health are critically important to support ecological
3 resilience and facilitate adaptation of fish, wildlife and plants to climate change at landscape
4 scales. Ecological resilience is defined as the ability of ecosystems to withstand disturbances and
5 reorganize while undergoing change, so as to retain essentially the same function, structure,
6 identity and feedbacks. Critical conservation delivery strategies to enhance ecological resilience
7 include maintaining or restoring the ecological integrity of existing conservation units, enhancing
8 linkages and connectivity among units, buffering core areas, identifying and protecting climate
9 refugia, and ensuring adequate representation of our nation's ecological communities in the
10 collective conservation estate.

11
12 The Service's strategic plan for responding to climate change recognizes that adaptation
13 strategies can be anticipatory or reactive. Anticipatory adaptation manages towards a new
14 climate change-induced equilibrium; reactive adaptation abates the impact by trying to maintain
15 the current condition despite climate change.

16
17 The Service primarily is employing reactive adaptation strategies. This overall approach makes
18 sense as a means of "holding ground and hedging bets" while considerable uncertainty about
19 climate change impacts remain and the Refuge System faces the immediate need to counter
20 environmental stressors. Over time, uncertainty about climate change impacts will be reduced,
21 and the vulnerability of ecosystems and plant and animal populations to environmental change
22 will be better understood. As this occurs, the Service can shift to a predominantly anticipatory
23 approach to protecting and managing refuge lands and waters. Maintaining or restoring habitats,
24 ecological processes, and plant and animal populations on wildlife refuges will require
25 reconsideration of desired outcomes as climate change impacts are more accurately predicted.
26 Accelerated climate change will occur over the next century regardless of the successes of
27 mitigation efforts. Therefore, reducing "non-climate stressors" to increase ecological integrity on
28 wildlife refuges and ecological resilience at landscape scales are more critical than ever.

29
30 Targeted restoration will also be necessary in many wildlife refuge landscapes to bring an altered
31 landscape back into balance. The word "restoration" is often associated with a backward-looking
32 mindset, trying to return to a lost condition in the past. Instead, restoration efforts in the Refuge
33 System should focus on strategically replacing highly altered landscapes with native plant
34 communities to create the best possible current and future habitat for trust species. Restoration
35 efforts that try to recreate the past may have limited success in a highly altered landscape under
36 predicted climate change scenarios. Restoration efforts and restored landscapes should create
37 landscape-level habitats or habitat complexes capable of supporting genetically viable
38 populations and metapopulations of trust species, be resilient to short-term climate fluctuations
39 and long-term climate change, recreate as many ecosystem processes as possible on the
40 landscape, integrate partnerships with federal and state agencies, nongovernmental organizations,
41 and private landowners and integrate with future acquisition efforts to strategically grow the
42 conservation estate. Maintaining biological integrity, diversity and environmental health on
43 national wildlife refuges and contributing to ecological resilience and climate change adaptation
44 will require innovation, flexibility and adapting policy to changing conditions.

45



1 Recommendation: Complete a step-down of the goals in the Service’s 2010 *Strategic*
2 *Plan for Responding to Accelerating Climate Change* for the Refuge System that
3 prioritizes and guides future actions.
4

5 Recommendation: Review and update policy for managing biological integrity, diversity,
6 and environmental health on wildlife refuges. The benchmark for desired conditions must
7 anticipate that climate-changed ecological conditions may preclude managing for historic
8 conditions.
9

10 Recommendation: Review and update Service policies on Comprehensive Conservation
11 Planning and Wilderness Stewardship to reflect that climate-changed ecological
12 conditions may preclude managing for historic conditions.
13

14 Recommendation: Include climate change adaptation criteria in the overhaul of the Land
15 Acquisition Prioritization System.
16

17 **Issues, Concerns, and Systemic Challenges in Managing for Biological Integrity, Diversity** 18 **and Environmental Health**

19 **Fire Management and Emergency Preparedness**

20 A significant proportion of lands in the Refuge System occur within fire-adapted ecosystems.
21 The management of wildland fires, both prescribed fires and wildfires, is perhaps the System’s
22 most widely used tool for ecological restoration and management of habitats and wildlife
23 populations.
24

25 Climate change and a rapidly expanding wildland-urban interface are increasing the risks of
26 catastrophic fire, resulting in less funding for work *outside* of the wildland-urban interface.
27 Maintaining wildland fire management capability is critical for the Service to support ecological
28 resilience and facilitate adaptation of fish, wildlife and plants to climate change at landscape
29 scales. With these important ecological values in mind, a top priority of Refuge System fire
30 management is fire personnel safety. The Service must ensure employees have the training,
31 experience and equipment to do their jobs.
32

33 The Refuge System has continually led the way in the use of prescribed fire in meeting
34 departmental goals for hazardous fuels reduction. Current interagency fire policy narrowly
35 emphasizes hazardous fuel reduction as a goal and overlooks the protection of broader landscape
36 and ecosystem goals. Fire managers should be equally concerned with protecting watersheds, the
37 spread of invasive species, maintaining fuel conditions where treatment has already occurred,
38 and protecting and recovering endangered and threatened species.
39

40 Recommendation: Aggressively pursue changes to interagency fire policy that ensures
41 the use of fire to protect the full range of natural resource values.
42

43 The Refuge System excels in providing critical support to national wildland fire preparedness
44 and suppression efforts. In fact, the refuge fire program responds to 41% of fires on federal lands
45
46



1 while only receiving 18% of the Department of the Interior fire dollars. The Service conforms to
2 the highest interagency standards of training, operations, and safety, and benefits from sharing
3 expertise and knowledge with partner agencies.

4
5 Preparedness for responding to emergencies; however, needs improvement. Recent emergencies,
6 such as the catastrophic Deepwater Horizon oil spill event, highlight that wildlife refuge lands
7 and resources are disproportionately affected. While the Service's overwhelming support in
8 responding to this and other oil spills is a testament to the character of the agency, the Refuge
9 System is reminded of the inadequacy of its preparedness for such disasters. Refuges lack staff
10 certified and trained in the necessary response protocols (Hazardous Waste Operations and
11 Emergency Response Standard HAZWOPER and Incident Command System ICS) and Natural
12 Resource Damage Assessment (NRDA) programs. The NRDA is the mechanism by which
13 habitat, wildlife populations, and services are restored. The Refuge System's national inventory
14 and monitoring program can assist in providing baseline data to inform damage assessments;
15 however, the Refuge System must increase its overall understanding of the NRDA and ensure
16 adequate numbers of staff are trained to conduct damage assessments. The Refuge System must
17 also build on the success of the fire community's preparedness infrastructure to ensure the ability
18 to participate quickly and effectively when the Service responds to spills.

19
20 Recommendation: Develop a program that maintains trained staff (like the fire program)
21 for certified staff to respond to emergency incidents, such as oil and other hazardous
22 material spills, and leads NRDA activities during events.

23 24 **Farming**

25
26 Growing agricultural crops to feed wildlife is one of the oldest wildlife management techniques.
27 This practice is still utilized in some locations with high concentrations of waterfowl. Wildlife
28 refuges also use farming techniques to prepare land for restoration. In many areas, wildlife
29 refuges continue to farm or cultivate many more acres than needed for wildlife because funds are
30 not available to manage the acres differently, such as by developing moist soil and wetland
31 management infrastructure. Some wildlife refuges use cooperative farming agreements to
32 produce crops for wildlife where funds or personnel are not available for the refuge to farm.
33 Cooperative farming is cost effective in terms of dollars spent, but it often requires three to four
34 times as many acres to be in production.

35
36 The production of agricultural crops on wildlife refuges also emits carbon, contributing to the
37 problem of climate change. On the other hand, restoration of native vegetation sequesters carbon.
38 Priorities for funding should be directed towards carbon sequestration projects.

39
40 Recommendation: Review the farming program and identify opportunities to reduce
41 carbon emissions and sequester carbon by restoring native vegetation.

42 43 **Water Supplies and Aquatic Ecosystems**

44
45 The Refuge System Improvement Act directs the Secretary of the Interior to acquire, under state
46 law, water rights that are needed for the purposes of wildlife refuges. Ensuring adequate water



1 quantity for conservation purposes is essential, and will become increasingly challenging as the
2 nation's population grows and the impacts of accelerating climate change are felt. The Refuge
3 System has recently initiated an assessment of its water needs, and the results will be used to
4 prioritize and direct action in order to meet the long-term water quantity needs. Given regional
5 differences in U.S. water laws, a range of actions could include purchase of additional water
6 rights in some western states, while working within state water-appropriation processes in other
7 parts of the country.

8
9 The Refuge System's efforts to secure sufficient water quantity and quality must also include
10 actions to improve overall health and resiliency of all aquatic resources within national wildlife
11 refuges. This effort should enlist the support and expertise of Service fisheries biologists,
12 hydrologists and other experts and partners to assess the condition of wildlife refuge water
13 bodies and identify existing limitations and future problems. The results will be included in the
14 second round of system-wide comprehensive conservation planning. The comprehensive effort
15 should address critical issues, including: adequate groundwater and instream flows; instream
16 passage barriers to native species; restoration of extirpated or reduced native aquatic species; and
17 exclusion, elimination, or control of harmful invasive species.

18
19 Recommendation: Complete a thorough assessment of water quantity needs and use the
20 information to determine and prioritize appropriate actions to meet those needs.

21
22 Recommendation: Assess water quality conditions and use the information to determine
23 and prioritize appropriate actions to increase aquatic ecosystem health and resiliency.

24 25 **Working Beyond Wildlife Refuge Boundaries**

26
27 In earlier decades, most wildlife refuge managers focused more on what happened within their
28 refuge boundaries. In more recent times, managers of wildlife refuges have realized that it is
29 increasingly important to view and manage refuge lands as pieces interwoven in a landscape-
30 level tapestry of conservation. They have recognized that because wildlife ecosystem processes
31 require work at broad landscape levels, the Service must, again, look outward to our neighbors,
32 conservation partners and surrounding communities to achieve our collective goals. The Refuge
33 System must look beyond its borders and think critically about the pressing issues affecting the
34 species and ecosystems it strives to manage.

35
36 The number of national wildlife refuges involved in landscape-level conservation coalitions
37 grows every day. The San Joaquin River Partnership, Sandhills Task Force, and Greater
38 Noxubee Wildlife Management Cooperative are coalitions with one or more wildlife refuges at
39 their core. Other projects like Montana's Blackfoot Challenge, South Carolina's ACE Basin and
40 New England's Silvio O. Conte Refuge are famous for their collaborative approaches to
41 conservation, and they have impressive tallies of protected acres to show for their work.

42
43 The era is over when the Refuge System could focus only on protecting land and water inside
44 refuge boundaries, and leave to a roll of the dice what happened outside the boundaries. The
45 emerging model focuses on conserving entire landscapes and connecting the stewardship of
46 those landscapes to the livability and sustainability of local communities.



1
2 The new model has patterns and themes that, while not evident in every coalition, can certainly
3 be found in most. Each project accomplishes conservation through a broad coalition of partners.
4 Working in such diverse coalitions requires utmost diplomacy and collaboration skills.
5

6 Each project is based on a network of core protected areas which are usually complemented with
7 conservation easements overlain on working lands. Each organizes resources and provides
8 workshops to help participants be better land stewards. Some may teach a workshop on how to
9 control invasive plants while at the same time implementing a landscape-level contract to control
10 them. Others might train members of the public how to remove fish passage barriers while at the
11 same time securing one drainage-wide permit to remove dozens of barriers.
12

13 Leaders of such projects become masters at finding efficiencies of scale and at directing new
14 issues to the members most capable of handling them. They take advantage of all the tools that
15 diverse partners can bring to the table, and gain efficiency by applying the best tool to the task at
16 hand.
17

18 New units of the Refuge System are being designed as cooperatives from the start. The Flint
19 Hills Legacy Conservation Area in Kansas will conserve up to 1.1 million acres of tallgrass
20 prairie through voluntary, perpetual conservation easements; 45,000 acres of core areas are
21 already protected there. These easements will further protect habitat for 100 species of grassland
22 birds and 500 species of plants. Importantly, these working landscapes ensure the region's
23 ranching culture is sustained. A partnership of ranchers, state and federal agencies, and non-
24 government organizations are coordinating the project.
25

26 Similarly, the Everglades Headwaters National Wildlife Refuge and Conservation Area is being
27 planned with a broad coalition of partners and land owners to protect 150,000 acres of the
28 Kissimmee River Valley near Orlando, Florida. The proposed conservation area includes 50,000
29 acres that could be purchased with an additional 100,000 acres protected through easements and
30 agreements. Major goals include improving water quality and providing outdoor recreation, but
31 at the same time protecting habitat for 88 federal and state threatened and endangered species,
32 including the Florida panther, Florida black bear, whooping crane, Everglade snail kite and the
33 Eastern indigo snake. The 150,000-acre project would link to another 690,000 acres of existing
34 conservation lands.
35

36 Some long-established wildlife refuges undoubtedly are in landscapes ripe for collaborative
37 coalitions. Funding similar to what is available through the Service's Partners Program can be
38 vital to starting coalitions and building new relationships. The Service should manage wildlife
39 refuges, where possible, with a partnership approach with adjacent private landowners. State
40 Wildlife Action Plans should inform these efforts. Their effectiveness will be enhanced through
41 coordination with Landscape Conservation Cooperatives.
42

43 Recommendation: Seek conservation funding for cooperative management projects.
44

45 Recommendation: Develop and provide collaboration and diplomacy skills training to
46 employees to increase land management cooperatives among national wildlife refuges,



1 local landowners, and other partners. The training should include educating private
2 landowners on the benefits of conservation.

3
4 With the urgent need to both buffer conservation lands and connect them, the Service must place
5 greater emphasis on pursuing the as-yet unrealized potential of Farm Bill programs. The Refuge
6 System can accelerate conservation in priority areas by more strategically partnering with the
7 U.S. Department of Agriculture’s Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) and Farm
8 Service Agency (FSA) to better access, leverage and target Farm Bill dollars. The Farm Bill
9 contains billions of dollars in funding for conservation on private lands – much of it under-
10 utilized. More than a dozen conservation programs fund land protection through conservation
11 easements and long-term rental contracts as well as restoration of forests, wetlands, grasslands,
12 riparian buffers and other wildlife habitats.

13
14 Recommendation: Work closely with the USDA to align and prioritize Farm Bill
15 conservation program funding in landscapes with the highest wildlife value.

16
17 Recommendation: Educate high-priority landowners adjacent to wildlife refuge
18 boundaries about relevant Farm Bill conservation programs.

19
20 Recommendation: Become well versed on Farm Bill programs and opportunities through
21 representation on each of the NRCS State Technical Committees.

22
23 Recommendation: Seek out opportunities to partner with other agencies, non-government
24 conservation groups and others to pool resources and leverage Farm Bill dollars in
25 priority wildlife areas.

26 27 **Ocean and Marine Conservation**

28
29 When people generally think of the Refuge System, they envision the lands protected for
30 wildlife. In fact, like much of the Earth, the Refuge System has far more “waters” than one might
31 assume. Ocean and coastal national wildlife refuge lands and waters comprise 76 percent of the
32 total acres in the Refuge System. A third of all national wildlife refuges are ocean or coastal
33 sites. Through the protection of some of the largest, most intact marine ecosystems on the planet,
34 the Refuge System is a leading contributor to global efforts to conserve marine biodiversity and
35 save the world’s oceans.

36
37 National wildlife refuges offer a vast geographic spectrum of scientific research opportunities,
38 whether in the Arctic, near large coastal population centers, or in remote, pristine insular and
39 ocean wildlife refuges. The marine wildlife refuges protect diverse fish and wildlife habitats
40 including salt marshes, rocky shorelines, sandy beaches, kelp forests, mangroves, seagrass
41 meadows, barrier islands, estuaries, lagoons, tropical coral atolls and open ocean. With the
42 addition of the Marianas Trench and Arc of Fire National Wildlife Refuges in 2009, the Refuge
43 System can now add deep-sea hydrothermal vent communities to this list of protected marine
44 ecosystems. With the expansion and additions to the Pacific Reefs Refuges, the Refuge System is
45 now a leader in highly migratory oceanic species conservation, such as tuna, by protecting large
46 areas that are closed to commercial harvest.



1
2 Recommendation: Develop a comprehensive communications and outreach strategy
3 regarding Refuge System’s coastal and ocean areas management paradigm to help land
4 managers understand its place within the suite of options for conservation.
5

6 Many marine wildlife refuges, particularly the Remote Pacific Islands Complex, make ideal
7 natural laboratories for studying the effects of climate change in the absence of other human
8 disturbance. The Refuge System should continue to support existing research partners like the
9 Pacific Island Climate Change Cooperative and the Palmyra Atoll Research Consortium.
10

11 Recommendation: Working in concert with the Service’s Landscape Conservation
12 Cooperatives and the Refuge System Natural Resources Program Center, maintain,
13 enhance and develop research partnerships and materials on marine issues to provide
14 information on how to work with individual wildlife refuges as well as guidelines and
15 guidance on types of appropriate and compatible research.
16

17 Recommendation: Establish access to a research vessel and put together a qualified
18 scientific research team to better inventory, monitor and manage Pacific marine wildlife
19 refuges.
20

21 **Invasive Species**

22

23 The spread of invasive species remains one of the nation’s and the Refuge System’s most
24 pervasive threats to ecological integrity and resilience. Climate change is likely to exacerbate the
25 threat. Currently, the Refuge System is focused on controlling large, well-established infestations
26 rather than pursuing early detection and complete eradication of smaller incipient populations.
27 More emphasis on prevention and early detection and rapid response may be a more efficient and
28 effective strategy in the long term.
29

30 In implementing *Fulfilling the Promise*, the Refuge System developed a strategic plan for
31 invasive species. From that came development of invasive species strike teams to provide rapid
32 response capability in certain areas. They have proved highly effective. A cooperative effort with
33 the National Wildlife Refuge Association developed a volunteer and invasive species program,
34 in which volunteers undertake early detection and mapping. The Service has worked with
35 programs to eradicate rats and restore bird nesting colonies on a number of islands.
36

37 Recommendation: Update the Refuge System’s invasive species strategy to include
38 implications of climate change and set clear priorities and performance measures to guide
39 future work.
40

41 Recommendation: To leverage limited federal funding resources available to wildlife
42 refuges and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, mobilize 10,000 volunteers over the next
43 10 years to map, inventory and eradicate invasive species on wildlife refuges.
44
45



1 **Wilderness Stewardship**

2
3 The Refuge System includes at least twenty million acres of wilderness, about 22 percent of
4 lands within the nation’s National Wilderness Preservation System. Wilderness, as defined by
5 the Wilderness Act, is relatively untrammeled (“free from man’s control”), undeveloped and
6 natural and offers outstanding opportunities for solitude and primitive recreation. Wilderness
7 includes some of the largest and most intact landscapes in the country. Because of their
8 management emphasis on natural conditions, wilderness areas can serve as baseline or reference
9 areas to be compared with similar ecosystems undergoing active management. Since natural
10 processes are allowed to predominate without human intervention, these areas are also key
11 components in a national strategy for monitoring long-term ecological change, such as climate
12 change. To adhere to the principles of wilderness stewardship, adapting to climate change will
13 require resisting manipulation and embracing the Wilderness Act provision regarding scientific
14 purpose.

15
16 Recommendation: Update the Wilderness Stewardship Policy to address the relationship
17 between maintaining wilderness character and addressing the threats of climate change.

18
19 In 2012, all national wildlife refuges will have completed Comprehensive Conservation Plans
20 (CCPs). The second round of comprehensive conservation planning will begin in late 2012.

21
22 Recommendation: Complete wilderness reviews for all national wildlife refuges within
23 two years and make recommendations for wilderness designation of appropriate areas
24 during the second round of CCPs.

25
26 *Fulfilling the Promise* stated that, “central to the experience and awareness of wilderness is
27 humility, with its corollary, restraint; restraint in what is appropriate for visitors to do, as well as
28 managers. Restraint is the reason for the ‘minimum tool’ rule, limiting use of our mechanisms to
29 that which is necessary, and necessary not only to manage these areas, but to manage them *as*
30 wilderness.” This remains a guiding philosophy for wildlife refuge wilderness stewardship.

31 **International Connections**

32
33
34 In the face of such global environmental stresses as climate change and a burgeoning worldwide
35 population, effective communication of successful wildlife conservation across international
36 boundaries is imperative. In the future, the Refuge System will be used increasingly as an
37 example for international conservation initiatives. The Refuge System should strengthen the
38 focus and understanding of conservation efforts beyond national borders, especially regarding
39 internationally shared species.

40
41 Over the last 20 years, the Service has moved to a broader and more collaborative vision of
42 wildlife conservation, understanding that the United States is part of an interconnected, global
43 web of conservation partners and ecological landscapes. Ultimately, no single group, agency or
44 country can achieve wildlife conservation in isolation.



1 Service employees working on national wildlife refuges have been frequent hosts of international
2 wildlife managers and have participated with the International Conservation staff on trips to
3 foreign countries to advise them and help them develop capacity. The Service's International
4 Conservation staff also have access to a considerable network of on-the-ground conservationists
5 around the globe. The Refuge System should work with the International Conservation staff to
6 engage the network of conservation specialists around the globe to strengthen collaboration and
7 integrate approaches to wildlife and habitat management.

8
9 Recommendation: Lend expertise and assistance to the Service's International
10 Conservation efforts to increase collaborative work on global issues and wildlife
11 conservation projects.

12 13 **Comprehensive Conservation Planning 2.0**

14
15 Sometime near the end of 2012, the Refuge System will feel a great sense of accomplishment
16 when it completes comprehensive conservation plans (CCPs) for every national wildlife refuge.
17 The joy will be short lived because some plans will be more than a decade old in 2012. Some
18 plans were visionary in dealing with complex issues such as sea level rise, while others got
19 caught in whirlpools of controversy and barely maintained the status quo.

20
21 Recommendation: Begin an after-action review of the first round of CCPs, capture
22 lessons learned, and update the planning policy prior to the next round of planning. The
23 updated planning policy should consider how the plans meet not only the needs of the
24 wildlife refuge, but also can collectively inform the Refuge System's management.

25
26 The schedule for the second round of CCPs should place priority on refuge climate change
27 adaptation planning that is needed most. This round will play a critical role in recommending
28 refuge expansions, migrations and other changes to deal with the effects of changing climate
29 conditions. These new CCPs will be integral to the plans for strategic growth of the Refuge
30 System.

31
32 Many things have changed since the first round of planning began. All states now have State
33 Wildlife Action Plans that inform refuge CCPs. The Refuge System Inventory and Monitoring
34 Blueprint is ready for wildlife refuges to include in their plans. The Service's Climate Change
35 Strategic Plan informs adaptation planning on wildlife refuges. Landscape Conservation
36 Cooperatives can assist in understanding and communicating the conservation benefits of
37 wildlife refuges beyond their boundaries and within the context of the greater, surrounding
38 landscape. Wilderness stewardship policy is in place and gives wildlife refuges the tools to
39 assure a comprehensive review of wilderness resources during the next round of planning. All of
40 these factors will help improve future plans.

41
42 The next round of planning will not only update refuge management strategies, but must also
43 describe how the wildlife refuge fits in the greater surrounding. These plans will be flexible
44 enough to adapt to new situations and issues. Those who read them will come away with a clear
45 understanding of what is expected. Refuge staff members who implement them should be
46 inspired to act with a firm grasp of the overall intent.



1
2
3
4
5

Recommendation: In new comprehensive conservation plans, describe how the Service can use all its conservation delivery tools to project conservation benefits beyond refuge boundaries across the landscape.

DRAFT



1 **Chapter 3**
2 **Conservation Science and the Refuge System**

3
4 The Refuge System has always relied upon science to inform its actions. As an example, bird-
5 banding studies in the early 20th century contributed to an understanding of migratory patterns. In
6 response to a dramatic continental decline of waterfowl populations, the Refuge System used
7 scientific information to inform a shift to a new conservation strategy based on the creation and
8 management of a series of connected migratory habitats.

9
10 Science is dynamic, with certainties and uncertainties continually changing in light of new data,
11 field methods and analytical techniques. The evolution of conservation biology has introduced
12 concepts such as landscape ecology, biodiversity, ecosystem health, ecological function and
13 sustainability. The Refuge System has integrated these ideas into its policies and practices,
14 testing their validity and adaptively applying the resulting information to refine habitat and
15 wildlife population management decisions. Since the Refuge System Improvement Act of 1997
16 and publication of *Fulfilling the Promise* in 1999, the Service has outlined and implemented
17 strategies to accomplish the wildlife and habitat goals set before the Refuge System. In the
18 intervening time the Refuge System has learned to better appreciate adaptive management,
19 become more aware of global climate change, and recognized the need to address conservation at
20 multiple spatial scales.

21
22 While the Refuge System has long been a leader in fish and wildlife conservation, particularly in
23 habitat restoration and management, its ability to consistently implement science has been
24 uneven, typically hampered by various combinations of lack of staff, funding and clear
25 objectives. Complex management questions are common and have magnified these challenges.

26
27 The threats to fish and wildlife posed by climate change, invasive species, habitat loss and
28 fragmentation, energy development and extraction, contaminants and alterations in ecological
29 processes are making the future as challenging as any faced in Refuge System history. However,
30 the Refuge System's traditions of innovation and adaptation underscore its potential to meet
31 today's challenges, and science is regaining its place within the Service. Adaptive management
32 has come to the forefront to help deal with complex management conditions, and decision-
33 making tools continue to evolve. Innovative methods of utilizing science to build conservation
34 models, set habitat and population objectives, plan strategic growth of the Refuge System, design
35 conservation delivery actions, and evaluate indicators of success are being continually developed
36 and improved. The application of science within the Refuge System is expanding to include not
37 only natural resource sciences, but also the related fields of physical, social, historical and
38 cultural resource sciences.

39
40 The Refuge System envisions a future where the Service maintains and enhances its culture of
41 commitment to scientific excellence. The Refuge System commits to embracing four
42 foundational elements in its vision for conservation science:

- 43
44 • Application of sound science to refuge management,
45 • Implementation of robust inventory and monitoring,
46 • Development of deliberate research agendas, and



- Fostering of communication and collaboration within the Service and among partners.

The four foundational elements must be equally emphasized and inform and complement one another across wildlife refuges, ecosystems and issues. To achieve the vision, broad actions must be taken to support, enhance and re-vitalize these four foundational elements.

Science-based Wildlife and Habitat Management

The integration of science, management and learning in a collaborative framework forms the foundation for on-the-ground conservation delivery by wildlife refuges. Wildlife and habitat management efforts must be effective and supported by the best available data in order to learn from successes and failures and continually improve the Refuge System's delivery of conservation benefits. In this way, Refuge System wildlife and habitat management efforts will have impacts well beyond the boundaries of wildlife refuges and integrate with broader landscape scale habitat conservation frameworks.

Wildlife and habitat management decisions must always be made within a scientific context. Science-based management decisions use better information, improve efficiency, reduce the uncertainty of outcomes, and increase the ability to solve complex problems and adapt to changes in habitats, populations and ecosystems. The integration of refuge-based information sources from a robust inventory and monitoring program and directed research will provide the data necessary to implement intensive and proactive adaptive management and science-based decision making at appropriate spatial and temporal scales. A proven track record of wildlife and habitat management decisions that are professionally approached, transparent and sound will promote more effective partnerships.

Scientific approaches and information underpin this iterative decision-making process. Adaptive management requires formulating an explicit objective, generating information to optimize management decision making, reducing uncertainty in management decisions by monitoring (which provides new information describing how well objectives are achieved), and feeding back new data to improve the ability of science to inform future actions. In achieving effective wildlife and habitat management that springs from a commitment to the scientific process, the Refuge System will provide tangible conservation benefits for the nation's lands, water, species and habitats, and continue to be recognized as a valued and authoritative conservation entity.

Recommendation: Ensure that resources are sufficient to make investing in the application of science-based wildlife and habitat management a priority and promote a science-based approach consistently throughout the Refuge System.

Without staffing and expertise, the Refuge System will be unable to keep pace with advances in technology and science, including scientific expertise in a diversity of fields of study in order to meet the challenges of a changing world.

Recommendation: Proactively and consistently implement adaptive management.



1 This requires establishing clear goals and objectives for management in the context of best
2 available science and local and landscape level conservation priorities. Refuge managers must
3 explicitly plan and document management actions and identify and understand threats at multiple
4 spatial and temporal scales. Monitoring responses and assessing outcomes in relation to clearly
5 defined and measurable goals and objectives is necessary. The work of adaptive management is
6 not complete without communicating outcomes to appropriate, often multiple, audiences and
7 using the information to inform decisions.

8
9 Faced with the escalating pace of environmental change, the Service and its partners must
10 develop the capability to provide on-the-ground delivery of facilitated adaptation for climate
11 change and other threats. The Refuge System can contribute valuable data for models, as well as
12 offer a unique and significant network of habitats essential to landscape-scale mitigation of
13 environmental threats.

14
15 Mechanisms for data management and communication of scientific information must be
16 improved. Standardization, scalability, flexibility, and interoperability are vital to the design of
17 all spatial and non-spatial information systems. Information technology resources and staff
18 capacity at all levels need to be further developed to maximize the long-term integrity and
19 availability of scientific techniques and information.

20
21 Recommendation: Ensure that scientific information collected by the Refuge System is
22 applicable, and organized, stored, processed, accessible and distributed in a timely and
23 reliable manner to support decision-making by resource managers and partners.

24
25 To build its scientific capacity, the Refuge System must assure accountability at the wildlife
26 refuge, regional and national levels for the systematic implementation of science protocols and
27 for a culture of scientific excellence.

28
29 Recommendation: Develop Service standards for credibility, efficiency and consistent
30 application of science in planning and management.

31 32 **Robust Inventory and Monitoring**

33
34 The Refuge System Improvement Act directs the Service to monitor the status and trends of fish,
35 wildlife and plants on each wildlife refuge. Most wildlife refuges do not currently have a
36 comprehensive baseline inventory of the diversity of fish, wildlife and plants that live there.
37 Without an understanding of the species that depend on a wildlife refuge, the capacity to deliver
38 effective conservation is reduced. This understanding is essential in order to assess the effects of
39 a changing world.

40
41 Effective management requires an understanding of species distributions, abundances and
42 demographics, and their relationships to habitat composition and condition across multiple
43 spatial and temporal scales. It also requires the ability to predict and respond to changes in these
44 relationships brought about by rapid climate change, invasive species, habitat loss and
45 degradation and other environmental stressors. Understanding such complexity and anticipating



1 the affects of management will require novel, robust inventory and monitoring approaches that
2 have appropriate statistical rigor, are scalable, and can accommodate multiple metrics and taxa.

3
4 In 2010, the Refuge System launched a national inventory and monitoring program to increase
5 its collective ability to inventory and monitor wildlife and habitats and inform conservation.
6 Success in conserving fish, wildlife, plants and habitats will depend on how well integrated the
7 inventory and monitoring efforts are with those of others throughout the conservation
8 community.

9
10 Recommendation: Institutionalize a nationally coordinated program to inventory and
11 monitor wildlife and habitats across multiple spatial and temporal scales.

12
13 The Refuge System must employ inventory and monitoring approaches that are scalable and
14 collect information within hierarchical frameworks to allow analysis to occur at a variety of
15 spatial and temporal scales. Inventory and monitoring designs must be robust enough to detect
16 subtle yet critical changes in populations and landscapes before causes are known; however,
17 statistical rigor should be commensurate with the goals of a given project, risk tolerance and the
18 priority of the resource issue in question. Wildlife refuges should monitor non-target impacts in
19 adaptive management designs.

20
21 Developing an effective program will include identifying, supporting and expanding centers of
22 inventory and monitoring excellence within and outside the Refuge System. It will support the
23 development of consistent and reliable national habitat data sets to inform strategic habitat
24 conservation, assist implementation of State Wildlife Action Plans and other conservation plans,
25 and establish a baseline for inventory and monitoring. The Refuge System should explore the
26 applicability of existing inventory and monitoring programs to its needs for attaining biological
27 information, including invertebrates and plants as well as other flora and fauna associated with
28 respective ecosystems. Collaboration on collection of biological data that allows detection of
29 subtle changes in environmental health will inform refuge needs and establish the Refuge System
30 as a valued partner in supporting strategic habitat conservation.

31
32 The Refuge System is building its inventory and monitoring program in close cooperation with
33 the National Park Service. Federal land management agencies should collaborate and integrate
34 their inventory and monitoring programs. This would not result in identical programs in each
35 agency, as specific needs vary. Instead, it would allow for collaboration and sharing of
36 information where interests are shared. It can assist in building a nationwide network to help
37 detect changes in the population and distribution in response to climate changed conditions.

38
39 Recommendation: As part of a National Conservation Strategy, collaborate with other
40 federal land management agencies to integrate inventory and monitoring programs.

41 42 **Deliberate Research**

43
44 The Refuge System has definitive research needs. Research projects must have credible and
45 defensible designs and modes of data analysis; results must be reported. Research should be
46 backed by appropriate and consistent data acquisition protocols and data management systems. A



1 deliberate research agenda complements science-based wildlife and habitat management and
2 inventory and monitoring.

3
4 The Refuge System must commit to framing research targets with assumption-driven priorities,
5 and ensure direct applicability to refuge management needs. Projects must be underpinned by
6 peer reviewed, transparent designs and modes of data analysis. Rigor will remain appropriate to
7 the priority of the issue and project scale. Refuge System scientists must routinely and
8 transparently identify, challenge and articulate assumptions made with respect to management
9 decisions. Those assumptions greatly influencing modeled outcomes, possessing high
10 uncertainty, and substantially influencing decisions should be captured and elevated as research
11 priorities.

12
13 National wildlife refuges serve as outdoor laboratories, providing opportunities for
14 collaborations spanning multiple spatial scales. If the Refuge System identifies priority
15 management-oriented research needs, it can encourage partnerships and the leveraging of funds.
16 Additional collaboration with U.S. Geological Survey research centers and co-op units, climate
17 science centers, universities and other research institutes is needed. Enhancing wildlife refuge
18 facilities and infrastructure, such as bunkhouses and office space used to support research, can
19 help entice partnerships.

20
21 Recommendation: Articulate and direct research applicable to wildlife refuges to meet
22 the Refuge System's research needs.

23
24 Land Management Research Demonstration Areas are places where new habitat management
25 techniques and approaches are developed, implemented and showcased. Although they have
26 received limited funding, they have been successful as centers of excellence in restoration and
27 management science. They deserve national level support to encourage cross-regional and even
28 continental and international-level research and to continue to build demonstration capacity that
29 delivers findings to other wildlife refuges, partners and the public.

30
31 Recommendation: Review the operations of Land Management Research and
32 Demonstration wildlife refuges, which have been established to increase research and
33 strengthen the demonstration of science.

34
35 Recommendation: Support Land Management Research and Demonstration wildlife
36 refuges that have been established and establish at least one Land Management Research
37 and Demonstration wildlife refuge in each Landscape Conservation Cooperative to
38 increase research and strengthen the demonstration of science.

39 40 **Communication, Collaboration, and Contribution in Science**

41
42 The challenges of the future require the Refuge System to develop additional scientific expertise
43 to effectively and adaptively manage fish, wildlife, and habitats. It must forge strong partnerships
44 with Landscape Conservation Cooperatives and other scientists to assure coordination and
45 collaboration across the landscape. The conservation challenges of the future are not obstacles
46 that the Service and the Refuge System can overcome alone. The Refuge System and the Service



1 must lead and be strong partners in conservation science. Communication and collaboration are
2 foundational requirements that must be embraced and implemented if future conservation goals
3 are to be achieved. Placing a strong emphasis on partnerships, building coordinated management,
4 research and monitoring projects that are useful at multiple scales, and communicating the results
5 to the conservation community will improve the Refuge System’s ability to leverage assistance,
6 enhance its abilities to share ideas, plans and strategies, and maximize the conservation
7 community’s capability to capitalize on shared interests and opportunities.

8
9 Collaborative efforts will ensure that the Refuge System prioritize its scientific needs in
10 coordination with state wildlife programs. The ability of the Refuge System to efficiently share
11 and distribute information and data, synthesize and report findings, and provide expertise to the
12 conservation community will result in meeting the goals and objectives of refuges and their
13 mission. The Refuge System will engage with local, regional and national level organizations
14 and communities to solve conservation problems.

15
16 Recommendation: Encourage a culture of science and expand contributions to the
17 scientific community through more sharing information and data, publishing scientific
18 findings in peer-reviewed journals, and becoming participants and leaders in professional
19 societies.
20

DRAFT



1 **Chapter 4**
2 **Human - Nature**

3
4 *The mission of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service is working with others to conserve, protect, and*
5 *enhance fish, wildlife, plants, and their habitats for the continuing benefit of the American*
6 *people.*

7
8 The Service’s workforce is passionately committed to its conservation mission, yet the purpose
9 behind the mission statement, “for the continuing benefit of the American people,” sometimes
10 generates less attention. Just as the Service must adapt its conservation work in the face of
11 changing environmental conditions, there is a similar urgency – and opportunity – to understand
12 and respond to America’s changing attitudes and demographics. America is becoming older,
13 increasingly urban, more culturally and ethnically diverse, and more responsive to including
14 people with varying abilities in every area of life. This changing America deserves the utmost
15 service and access to the appropriate nature-based benefits of its public lands. As an agency, the
16 Service must inspire people from all walks of life to create a conservation partnership with
17 America.

18
19 Rachel Carson described natural processes as a “panorama of endless change.” The phrase is
20 equally apt for the American population: Eighty percent of Americans now live in urban or
21 suburban areas. Americans are spending less and less time outdoors, and some are feeling a
22 disheartening separation from the world. Much writing and research today depicts a younger
23 generation of Americans unaccustomed to digging in the dirt, contemplating the stars, or figuring
24 out what creature lives in the burrow. As society becomes increasingly disconnected from nature,
25 the importance of functioning ecosystems to them fades. National wildlife refuges provide
26 important habitat for our nation’s wildlife; equally, they provide meaningful, if not essential,
27 places that nourish the human spirit.

28
29 **Connecting People with Nature**

30
31 Spending time in America’s great outdoors is good for both the spirit and health of our nation’s
32 people. Recreation and relaxation in nature can reduce stress and anxiety, promote learning and
33 personal growth, nourish the imagination, and provide mental and physical restoration. People
34 who are disconnected from nature are less likely to be committed to and involved with
35 stewardship of our shared natural legacy. Americans must learn anew that national wildlife
36 refuges, while providing beneficial habitat for wildlife, are essential and relevant places for a
37 new generation. In response to national demographic trends, national wildlife refuges must
38 provide new opportunities and actively encourage people, whether school age or in their
39 autumnal years, to connect with nature by visiting their national wildlife refuges, personally or
40 virtually.

41
42 The National Wildlife Refuge System provides some of the finest outdoor recreational
43 opportunities in the world. Indeed, more than 44 million people visited national wildlife refuges
44 in 2009, up from 34.5 million people a decade earlier. The vision and work of state agencies for
45 game, fish and wildlife conservation – and their authorities for hunting and fishing programs in



1 the United States – has enhanced these opportunities and the access Americans have to wildlife-
2 dependent recreation.

3
4 The Refuge System has a steadfast commitment to the long-standing conservation partnership
5 with America’s hunters and anglers to expand and improve hunting and fishing opportunities for
6 children and people with disabilities.

7
8 Recommendation: Conduct an analytical review of and report on wildlife refuge hunting
9 and fishing opportunities and rules and regulations, with special attention to opportunities
10 offered for youth and people with disabilities. Guidance on expanding opportunities will
11 accompany the report.

12
13 Recommendation: Work cooperatively with state fish and wildlife agencies to prepare a
14 strategy for increasing quality hunting and fishing opportunities on national wildlife
15 refuges with the goal of doubling youth participation in hunting and fishing on national
16 wildlife refuges by 2020.

17
18 Recommendation: Support outdoor recreation access and opportunities on national
19 wildlife refuges by improving coordination, effectiveness and efficiency among federal
20 agencies through close work with the Wildlife and Hunting Heritage Conservation
21 Council, the Sport Fishing and Boating Partnership Council, and other recreational
22 entities.

23
24 There are myriad opportunities to provide additional opportunities for people on national wildlife
25 refuges. The Refuge System Birding Initiative has been a successful partnership between the
26 Service and the birding community, and has provided important strategic advice on how to
27 increase birding opportunities and involve avid and casual birders in wildlife refuge conservation
28 and education programs. It is a model that can be expanded upon. The Refuge System must
29 expand opportunities to watch and learn about wildlife, assist people in learning a land ethic to
30 become better stewards of the nation’s natural resources, and build relationships with people who
31 have not had traditional links to wild lands and wildlife.

32 33 **Welcome to Your National Wildlife Refuge**

34
35 The number of people interested in wildlife watching and learning is actually growing.
36 Increasing visitation and the opportunities for connecting people with nature requires that more
37 people learn about wildlife refuges and feel welcome to visit. The Service must ensure that all
38 visitors feel safe, welcomed, and oriented. Visitors should be able to interact with refuge staff or
39 volunteers at all national wildlife refuges.

40
41 Visitors should be welcomed and oriented both when coming to the wildlife refuge and visiting
42 virtually. Wildlife refuges are places of seasonal and specialized uses. Some of the most awe-
43 inspiring spectacles of nature occur on wildlife refuges, but they are not year-round events. From
44 the sandhill cranes and snow geese flying in and out of Bosque del Apache National Wildlife
45 Refuge to the salmon surging up the Kenai River, ancient seasonal cycles and rhythms play out
46 across the Refuge System. A visit to a wildlife refuge is always special, but if the birds have not



1 arrived yet or the fish are not biting, potential visitors need to know. A variety of tools,
2 especially communications tools such as websites, social media and mobile applications must be
3 used to invite and prepare people for their visits. Visitors need up-to-date information on what is
4 happening on national wildlife refuges, throughout the seasons.

5
6 Many visitors will continue to depend on highway signs on the way to the wildlife refuge,
7 directional signs once they get there, and on-site brochures, interpretive kiosks or visitor centers
8 to explain wildlife. Basic signage and visitor facilities remain key elements in welcoming and
9 orienting visitors, but increasingly people are finding their way using GPS units and doing online
10 research before their visit. Interpretive information is sought from wireless devices and high-
11 speed cellular service. America is now a wired nation not accustomed to waiting for information.

12
13 Recommendation: Develop a new, integrated strategy for using technology, social media,
14 web applications, and emerging communications avenues to inform, orient and engage
15 with visitors and the public.

16
17 The strategy will describe how the Service will provide: information to welcome and orient
18 potential visitors and encourage their stewardship of natural resources; up-to-the-minute
19 information for wildlife refuge visitors, volunteers, Refuge Friends and others; virtual
20 experiences for those who cannot visit in person; environmental education kits for teachers who
21 may bring students and those who will lead their students on virtual trips and encourage a land
22 ethic; and information in both technical and popular formats about the important conservation
23 work of the Service. The strategy must integrate the development of wildlife refuge websites and
24 social media with other emerging tools and applications. The web page for a national wildlife
25 refuge should be viewed as a primary public face of the wildlife refuge and the Refuge System.
26 Content must be current, accurate, approachable and engaging.

27
28 For over a century the Refuge System has provided a variety of wildlife-dependent recreational
29 opportunities. The numbers of people engaged in wildlife observation on wildlife refuges –
30 especially birding and photography – are on the rise. Our interpretive and educational programs
31 are becoming more comprehensive and help people understand the ecological processes that are
32 at work in providing for healthy fish and wildlife communities. Adding multi-language signs and
33 bi-lingual staff is helping the Refuge System be more welcoming to even more Americans.

34
35 In the end, promoting relevance to the lives of everyday Americans is about access. People care
36 about what they know and what they can experience. Wildlife refuges must be accessible to all,
37 regardless of their location or the physical abilities of visitors, but never at the expense of the
38 purpose of conserving wildlife.

39
40 Transportation systems are the veins that connect America's cities, towns, and places. With the
41 need to reduce the emission of heat trapping gases from fossil fuels, alternative and clean modes
42 of transit are becoming larger components of community and regional planning. The Service can
43 enhance public accessibility to the wonders it manages while reducing impacts to the
44 environment using alternative transportation on high-visitation, capacity-limited national wildlife
45 refuges.



1 Recommendation: Wildlife refuges must participate in regional transportation planning.
2 A priority should be linking people to wildlife refuges from more urban areas.
3

4 Service field stations should also work with local municipalities to facilitate transportation for
5 seniors and people with disabilities. Wildlife refuges must continue to work to standardize
6 inclusive accessibility by retrofitting existing facilities to current Americans with Disabilities Act
7 standards and adopt the Universal Trail Assessment Process as a national standard. Training staff
8 to relate to and assist persons with special needs can make wildlife refuges more welcoming
9 places.
10

11 Small scale, low maintenance visitor facilities such as trails, observation towers and blinds, boat
12 launching ramps, interpretive signs and kiosks have proven to be a cost-effective way to provide
13 additional opportunities for all visitors to access and enjoy wildlife refuges. Additionally, many
14 new visitors arrive at wildlife refuges without the specialized equipment they need to enhance
15 the wildlife watching experience such as binoculars, spotting scopes, digital cameras and field
16 guides. The Service has made great strides through its Birding Initiative to make sure wildlife
17 refuges have these items to loan to visitors. Some have developed multimedia such as podcasts
18 and other aids to help visitors explore the wildlife refuge. Others have fishing gear available to
19 loan. A helping hand from staff and volunteers and the loaning of gear make visitors feel
20 welcome and increase the likelihood they will return.
21

22 Recommendation: Compile an up-to-date inventory of visitor facility enhancements that
23 must be constructed, maintained and prioritized with an emphasis on improvements that
24 increase the accessibility of Service facilities.
25

26 Recommendation: Every staffed wildlife refuge should have specialized equipment
27 available to loan and enhance visitors' experiences.
28

29 Becoming more accessible to urban populations is one of the Refuge System's most critical
30 challenges. There are some national wildlife refuges close to large cities. However, most are in
31 the wilder corners of America, beyond easy reach for most people living in the nation's largest
32 metro areas. Though some cities are now expanding further into the countryside – changing once
33 rural wildlife refuges into something more “urban” – it is not likely that many new national
34 wildlife refuges will be established near the most populous cities in America. Most large cities
35 have excellent regional, county or local parks and nature areas. There is huge opportunity for the
36 Service to create a new type of urban presence for wildlife and the Service's mission through
37 partnerships with local land managers and other federal, state, and tribal entities.
38

39 Recommendation: The Service should consider establishing national wildlife refuges in
40 urban areas if the land is valuable for wildlife.
41

42 Recommendation: Develop public land partnership “portals” or wild land recreation and
43 environmental education partnerships with local parks and nature areas to help an
44 increasingly urban population find ways to enjoy their local resources and raise the
45 profile of the Refuge System.
46



1 The job of reaching city dwellers to foster their connection to nature and whet their interest in
2 wildlife stewardship requires many players and partners. The Service can play an appropriate,
3 cost-effective role by bringing information to urban residents, welcoming them to visit and
4 providing virtual experiences for those who cannot visit. Green spaces in cities have limited
5 wildlife value, although they are important to protect for other reasons.
6

7 If efforts succeed in drawing new and different visitors to wildlife refuges, then they must first
8 and foremost feel safe. Some people think wild places are dangerous places. Those fears must be
9 dispelled. Front line personnel on national wildlife refuges are poised to address these and other
10 concerns that visitors to wildlife refuges may have.
11

12 Refuge Law Enforcement officers share these front lines of interaction with visitor service staff
13 and volunteers. Other field staff, including administrative specialists, biologists and maintenance
14 professionals who interact with the public, also need to project a welcoming message. They
15 should be happy to share their knowledge and experience about the refuge and wildlife. In many
16 regions, information needs to be shared, verbally and in writing, in more than one language.
17

18 Recommendation: Conduct periodic, scientific surveys to monitor and evaluate visitor
19 expectations and experiences with a special focus on children, families, and culturally
20 diverse groups. Use data to assess the effectiveness of the Public Use Requirements Field
21 Station Reviews, identify areas of growing public interest, and facilitate the development
22 of new strategies to enhance national wildlife refuge visitor services and nature-based
23 opportunities.
24

25 Recommendation: The Service must invest in or recruit for language skills in its
26 employees because languages other than English have become key to successful
27 communications with the public.
28

29 **Broadening Refuge Visitation and Use**

30

31 Regulations governing the Refuge System were created to safeguard the System's integrity and
32 are based on preventing excesses that occurred on wildlife refuges in the past. The Refuge
33 System has developed strong policy on compatibility to assure that public uses of wildlife
34 refuges do not interfere with their conservation purposes and assure a priority for wildlife
35 dependent recreation. The Compatibility and Appropriate Refuge Use policies and regulations
36 are powerful tools that have been critical to keeping wildlife refuges a place where wildlife come
37 first. In the past, the Appropriate Refuge Use policy has been interpreted very conservatively. As
38 the constituency of the Refuge System changes, the Service needs to interpret the Appropriate
39 Refuge Use policy more flexibly. Some have questioned whether the current policy needs to be
40 changed in order to provide a wider range of recreational opportunities that may draw new
41 visitors.
42

43 Many people enjoy being outside whether it is to have a family picnic, ride a bike, or walk their
44 dog. However, birders should not be frustrated by dogs running loose and barking, and anglers
45 should not have to worry about jet skis disturbing the fish. The Service must be open to
46 innovative ways to connect people to the natural world. The Refuge System has struggled with



1 uses like jogging, picnicking, sun bathing, dog walking and bike riding. Many people enjoy such
2 uses, which may not harm wildlife if the number of participants is limited. Refuge managers
3 have become rightly cautious because they have seen what happens to wildlife resources when
4 participation is too large and incompatible.

5
6 Recommendation: Review the Appropriate Use Policy to determine if policy barriers
7 prevent appropriate nature-based experiences. Provide support and incentives for
8 managers to be more expansive in their application of the Appropriate Refuge Use policy
9 to welcome a wider variety of nature-based experiences.

10
11 Recommendation: Update Service policies that address the administration of specialized
12 uses such as commercial guiding, recreation fee programs, commercial photography and
13 other audio/visual programs to maintain consistency, integrity, and excellence in the
14 expansion of recreational opportunities for the public.

15
16 Recommendation: Training on Compatibility and Appropriate Uses should be updated to
17 provide managers with consistent guidance on considering a broader array of nature-
18 based experiences on national wildlife refuges, monitoring use of wildlife refuges and
19 reducing conflict between user groups.

20
21 Certain types of recreation – biking, hiking, birding, canoe trails – may not appear appropriate
22 for a wildlife refuge if considered solely in the context of refuge planning. However, when they
23 are one piece in a broader regional recreational plan that can significantly protect open spaces
24 and connect people with nature, the Service must keep an open and cooperative attitude. If the
25 Service expects others to be partners in its conservation priorities, it must understand its role as a
26 potential partner in efforts that others lead.

27
28 Recommendation: Wildlife refuges must participate in regional recreation planning in
29 order to both protect wildlife resources and reach a new generation of wildlife
30 enthusiasts.

31
32 As demographics and values continue to shift in America, the Service must realize that
33 interpreting the Appropriate Refuge Use policy with flexibility without compromising a
34 commitment to wildlife conservation will engage new users and is a necessary part of securing
35 national support for the agency’s mission.

36 37 **A Sense of Place, a Sense of Community**

38
39 National wildlife refuges are not usually the destinations for vacations of a week or two. Instead,
40 most people visit just for the day, are from the local area or are just traveling through. National
41 wildlife refuges are community assets, providing economic and environmental benefits, as well
42 as the intangible aesthetic benefits of having an abundance of wildlife and natural scenery as a
43 part of the community landscape. National wildlife refuges evoke a deeply meaningful sense of
44 place for local communities.



1 The economic benefits of wildlife refuges are undeniable. As an example, recreational use on
2 wildlife refuges generated almost \$1.7 billion in total economic activity in 2006. In addition,
3 property values surrounding Refuges are higher than equivalent properties elsewhere. Cities and
4 communities are more frequently recognizing the value of ecosystem services. Regarding the
5 benefits of nature, they are realizing that it is less expensive to preserve the function of providing
6 clean water, for example, than creating technological solutions to mitigate societal impacts. The
7 value of the ecosystem services the National Refuge System provides has been estimated at
8 almost \$27 billion per year.

9
10 Recommendation: The Refuge System should continue studies and publish and share
11 results on the economic benefits of wildlife refuges to communities, the economic
12 benefits of ecosystem services from wildlife refuges, and the beneficial effect they have
13 on property values.

14
15 Humans all share requirements for food, water, oxygen, and shelter. Every person – regardless of
16 religion, political affiliation, age, or economic status – must have these things. National wildlife
17 refuges provide these benefits and services from nature that help meet human needs. Wildlands
18 like wildlife refuges are places where the rain and snow fall freely to the soil and are filtered
19 through plant roots into the Earth. Natural processes on wildlife refuges clean the air and water
20 that communities need. Trees and other plants prevent runoff and erosion and recycle heat-
21 trapping gases. Bees, bats, and butterflies live and breed in these natural places and pollinate
22 food crops. Game species such as moose, turkey, bass, and grouper thrive on the lands and in the
23 waters of national wildlife refuges. The Service needs to better communicate such benefits and
24 engage neighbors hand-in-hand in efforts to better understand and expand the impact of those
25 benefits.

26
27 Recommendation: Engage communities to identify what is important to them and
28 articulate the ecosystem services that their wildlife refuge(s) provide(s). As a pilot effort
29 to quantify these benefits, the Refuge System will prepare an ecosystem services benefit
30 report for 10 wildlife refuges in every region within the next 10 years. If successful, these
31 benefit reports will become a standard part of the Refuge System comprehensive
32 conservation planning.

33
34 National wildlife refuges are community assets in other ways that no currency could ever
35 measure. Well-trained employees of the Service are often first on the scene following natural
36 disasters like hurricanes, floods and tornadoes. In times of crisis, Service employees help local
37 emergency response officials with specialized skills and equipment. Service employees are
38 integral in their community, whether they are a member of the local nature club or active at a
39 religious, senior, or community center. Being a Service employee means being a good neighbor.

40
41 Many national wildlife refuges are successfully collaborating with community groups and are a
42 consistent, engaged, and fully integrated presence in their communities. Local visitors,
43 volunteers and community leaders have banded together to form locally chartered, nonprofit
44 wildlife refuge Friends groups that work in partnership with the Service. Friends organizations
45 help build links between wildlife refuges and local communities. Refuge Friends epitomize the
46 idea that all conservation is local: they do everything and anything imaginable to advance



1 conservation and serve the visiting public. All of them pour their heart and sweat into the
2 wildlife refuge they love.

3
4 Blossoming over the past 25 years, there are now over 230 supporting Friends groups around the
5 nation helping bridge the interests of the local communities with refuge operations and
6 management. The Service will continue to build on this successful model and reach out more
7 broadly in the community and create relationships with people that may not have traditional links
8 to wild lands and wildlife.

9
10 Recommendation: Every staffed national wildlife refuge should have a Friends
11 organization.

12
13 Support from Friends organizations is a benchmark of effective public-private partnerships that
14 leverages resources, saves money and better serves the public. Friends organizations are a
15 consistent, engaged and fully integrated presence in their community and are an excellent vehicle
16 for involving a new generation in making a real difference on-the-ground for wildlife
17 conservation. Strong connections to local communities come naturally in some areas and must be
18 nurtured in others.

19
20 Recommendation: The Service will support Friends organizations with education,
21 training, and capacity building resources and provide incentives for Service staff to work
22 closely with Friends and volunteers.

23
24 Recommendation: The Service will provide assistance and networking opportunities to
25 fledgling Friends groups in marketing and diversifying their membership and leadership.

26
27 Recommendation: The Service will partner with the National Wildlife Refuge
28 Association to create a campaign to grow the Refuge Friends membership to 100,000
29 people within a decade.

30
31 Not all individuals who want to help at a wildlife refuge are members of Friends organizations.
32 The Refuge System has succeeded in building a cadre of more than 42,000 dedicated volunteers
33 – more than 10 times larger than the entire Refuge System workforce – who participate in every
34 aspect of stewardship. The Refuge System could recruit yet more volunteers, but lack of staff to
35 organize, recruit, and engage volunteers is one limiting factor. Development of additional
36 recreational vehicle sites with hook-ups could attract more volunteers, as would travel support
37 and reimbursement and construction of bunkhouses and other facilities.

38
39 Recommendation: To fulfill the National Wildlife Refuge System Volunteer
40 Improvement Act, signed January 4, 2011, the Refuge System must develop a national
41 strategy for the coordination and utilization of volunteers.

42 43 **The Next Generation of Conservationists**

44
45 Only by connecting with young people can the Service ensure that a new generation of
46 Americans will become connected with the nation's great outdoors and grow into the land



1 stewards of tomorrow. The Service must develop opportunities for young people to learn a land
2 ethic and the principles and passion of resource stewardship. Programs like the Youth
3 Conservation Corps have provided thousands of people with their first experience working for
4 conservation. The Service and the Department of the Interior are working on a strategy to engage
5 the next generation of conservationists.

6
7 Recommendation: The Refuge System will aggressively implement the plan to engage
8 youth in a diverse array of work and volunteer programs.

9
10 Moreover, Refuge System engagement with scouting along with youth involvement in Refuge
11 Friends groups, nature clubs and sporting groups can provide the encouragement and opportunity
12 that will motive young people to join the conservation community. In that pursuit, technology
13 has tremendous value to reach an increasingly plugged-in younger generation. The Service must
14 continue to explore the applications of emerging technologies to engage our youth in wildlife
15 conservation.

16 **School Partnerships and the Future of Environmental Education**

17
18 The Refuge System’s list of Guiding Principles – core values that are ever relevant – start with
19 the most central:

20
21
22 “We are land stewards, guided by the teachings of Aldo Leopold that land is a community of life
23 and that love of land is an extension of ethics. We seek to instill the land ethic in our
24 communities.”

25
26 Environmental education is fundamental to nurturing a strong land ethic in the next generation
27 and is a critical part of developing people’s understanding of and participation in decisions
28 affecting wildlife and habitat conservation. Environmental education can be a key tool to giving
29 people a deeper appreciation of their inherent place in the natural world. Environmental
30 education programs convey the benefits of the Refuge System and other protected areas and
31 provide an avenue to promote a broader ecological conscience in future conservationists. The
32 Refuge System’s educational programs are also pathways to articulate nature’s benefits and
33 demonstrate tangible contributions to community schools.

34
35 The Refuge System has some – but not enough – outstanding examples of environmental
36 education programs. One is the Prairie Wetland Learning Center in Fergus Falls, Minnesota.
37 Each semester, more than 200 fourth and fifth-graders attend the center’s prairie science
38 classrooms for two hours a day, five days a week, over nine months. Students use the outdoor
39 world to learn about nature as well as reading, writing, science and mathematics. The curriculum
40 is designed to meet state curricular standards. The Refuge System has several similarly high
41 quality environmental education programs, but the number is limited and undocumented.

42
43 The Refuge System can play a vital, but limited, role in environmental education. Professional
44 educators must be the ones to deliver quality environmental education. Visitors and children can
45 learn about nature from their experiences on wildlife refuges, each other, and stories from
46 parents and mentors, but the Service cannot be solely responsible for the environmental



1 education of the nation and its youth. Additionally, technological tools are increasingly used to
2 provide environmental education in schools and communities, reaching youth in stunningly
3 immediate and relevant ways.

4
5 There are two distinct types of environmental education opportunities that wildlife refuges can
6 provide. Top quality programs like the Prairie Wetland Learning Center require highly trained
7 staff and strong commitment from local school districts. Such programs are valuable as
8 demonstration projects to be emulated more broadly, especially in light of the strong community
9 relationships such partnerships build.

10
11 The second, more common, environmental education program on wildlife refuges involves
12 making its facilities and information available to local teachers and informal educators. Every
13 staffed wildlife refuge should welcome local schoolchildren for compatible environmental
14 education. Standard toolkits for teachers should be available. A set of environmental education
15 standards could eliminate some duplication of effort and increase efficiency.

16
17 Recommendation: In locations where top quality environmental education programs are
18 working, develop clear research-based documentation of the viability and effectiveness of
19 using the outdoors as a classroom.

20
21 The results of this research should be widely disseminated and published to increase the
22 development and effectiveness of community and education partnerships as a model for
23 conservation practices - positioning the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service increasingly as a leader in
24 environmental education.

25
26 Recommendation: Develop an Environmental Education Strategy that inventories
27 existing efforts, identifies priorities for investment of staff and funds, and outlines basic
28 standards for all national wildlife refuges.

29
30 In order to have an effective environmental education program, the Service will need to establish
31 dedicated national environmental education staff to develop programs and foster a land ethic in
32 the next generation of conservationists. Their job would be to develop and disseminate high
33 quality programs, including the integration of “a study of nature” in school curricula and training
34 wildlife refuge and school staff in the use of the “study of nature” tools. Education does not stop
35 with schooling. Many community leaders, organizations and educators are interested in
36 providing opportunities for continuing education on conservation issues and establishing family
37 and inter-generational environmental programs, including nature clubs on and off wildlife
38 refuges.

39
40 Recommendation: Support programs that offer opportunities for wildlife refuges and
41 communities to engage in meaningful conversations about shared stewardship
42 responsibilities (e.g., *Land Ethic Leaders* program of the Aldo Leopold Foundation).

43
44 Communicating the core values of a land ethic and sustainability is a worthy endeavor for the
45 Service. It is much more important that the public sees these core values in the Refuge System’s



1 land management and visitor opportunities. Leading by example has more power to change
2 personal behavior than the communication of ideas alone.

4 **Communicating the Benefits of Nature**

6 Interpretation is the priority use of wildlife refuges that has most often been misunderstood. An
7 interpretative program on a wildlife refuge is designed to facilitate meaningful and memorable
8 visitor experiences. People have a natural tendency to care for what they first care about;
9 therefore, interpretative programs encourage stewardship of the wildlife and habitat of the visited
10 refuge. The Service has an incredible tool to reach out to the hearts and mind of America in the
11 National Wildlife Refuge System. Often described as the “front porch of the Service,” the
12 Refuge System provides an incredible meeting place for Americans to meet the Service.

14 Wherever the Service finds an interest in preserving natural or cultural heritage, wilderness
15 stewardship, citizen science, volunteering or healthy outdoor activities, there is an opportunity to
16 engage more Americans as stewards of the land and waters. This includes opportunities to work
17 with non-traditional supporters. The Service should use technology and social networking as part
18 of efforts to expand the idea of appropriateness to include a wider array of users. The Service
19 must actively advertise and market the benefits of conservation to a changing America.

21 Communication and interpretation are complex disciplines that utilize a variety of techniques. As
22 society diversifies, the Service must use more techniques to reach a wider audience. The heart
23 should be engaged, as well as the mind, understanding that wildlife refuges hold an undeniable
24 place for many.

26 The Refuge System must develop a strategy for interpretation to ensure that visitors to wildlife
27 refuges find an opportunity to personally connect with the refuge. The interpretive program
28 strategy will assist individual wildlife refuges in developing programs that identify the
29 characteristics of a site that make it a wildlife refuge in a way that is meaningful to the American
30 public. The strategy should also develop standardized materials on the Refuge System and how
31 the network of wildlife refuges across the nation conserves fish, wildlife and habitat for the
32 continuing benefit of the American people. To successfully build and implement a strong
33 interpretation program, the Refuge System must also make sure that front-line staff understand
34 interpretation and the “story” of their wildlife refuge.

36 Recommendation: Develop an Interpretation Program Strategy to build meaningful
37 interpretation opportunities at all wildlife refuges that support visitation.

39 Recommendation: Train all front line staff in the basic concepts of interpretation.

41 Despite this opportunity, not every visitor to a national wildlife refuge knows that they are at a
42 wildlife refuge, that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service manages it, or understands that it is part
43 of a system with breadth and a mission. Despite significant efforts, the Refuge System has been
44 unable to create a widely identifiable brand. Branding issues persist even after some solutions
45 have been mandated. For example, there are standardized designs for offices and visitor
46 facilities, but branding the Refuge System will be advanced only if the standards are employed.



1 The Service uniform is not always worn consistently in the field, and the uniform may or may
2 not reflect a look that would seem welcoming and professional today. It may be time for a
3 makeover.

4
5 Recommendation: The Refuge System needs an integrated strategy that assures a
6 consistent and professional refuge brand is developed, including publications, websites,
7 signs, facilities and uniforms.

8
9 Ultimately, a range of topics discussed under the concept of becoming relevant to a changing
10 America – from the use of communications technologies to stronger branding of the Refuge
11 System – comes under the far broader heading of strategic communications. Communicating the
12 value of the National Wildlife Refuge System to an internal audience of Service employees and
13 an external audience of Refuge Friends and other, targeted audiences is central to expanding a
14 zone of influence on behalf of wildlife conservation. The Service must connect with the minds
15 and hearts of a diverse America to ensure a wildlife legacy that endures for generations.

16
17 Recommendation: The Refuge System must create a multi-faceted, long-term
18 communication strategy, identifying key, targeted audiences and incorporating the newest
19 communication technologies.

20
21 Recommendation: Join and leverage marketing opportunities with a broader array of
22 groups, including private companies/organizations to promote the System conservation
23 message as well as visits to national wildlife refuges.

24
25 Strategic communications will help the Service make wildlife conservation of paramount
26 importance to the American people, yet any strategy will only be as effective as its content. The
27 Refuge System was once described as “America’s Best Kept Secret.” As the Refuge System
28 moves forward into the beginning of its second century of conservation success, America must
29 know of the incredible story that is the National Wildlife Refuge System. The secret must get
30 out.

31
32 Stories told about wildlife have engaged mankind for generations. The stories centered around
33 families of wildlife seen on television may seem ridiculous when viewed through a scientific
34 lens, but they have done a lot for instilling conservation ideals in children and families – they
35 have engaged the heart. Engaging the public demands that conservation professionals stretch
36 themselves, be creative, and talk about and teach conservation in a manner that compels the
37 American public to care about wildlife.

38
39 Nature provides recognizable benefits in clean air and water, for example, yet there are other
40 benefits, equally important, that are far more difficult to quantify. Despite the difficulty of
41 explaining the mystery of nature’s intangible benefits, Americans agree that time in nature is
42 especially restorative to people’s health and mental well-being and provides a way to nourish
43 their sense of wonder, imagination and curiosity. The benefits and immeasurable gifts of nature
44 are beneficial for all Americans, and realized by many people who may never visit lands or
45 waters of a national wildlife refuge.



1 The American public too often discounts wildlife conservation threats as being too far away, not
2 relevant to their everyday lives and even temporal. The finest minds, the strongest partnerships
3 and the greatest innovation must be brought to the task of increasing society's conservation
4 literacy to fulfill the agency's mission "for the continuing benefit of the American people."
5

DRAFT



1 **Chapter 5**
2 **Organizational Excellence**

3
4 Leadership and organizational excellence are inextricably linked. Leadership is doing the right
5 things. Organizational excellence is doing the right things right. In an excellent organization, the
6 many functional parts work together to achieve a central mission while continuing to grow and
7 evolve in order to meet new challenges. Excellent organizations have a vision, streamlined
8 business practices, integrated information sharing, great resilience, motivated workforces, a
9 distinct and positive culture and high levels of performance. Employees are satisfied and
10 fulfilled. Organizational excellence must be an overarching state of being that cuts across all
11 aspects of the Service. Any vision for the future must result in an agency of strong and talented
12 leaders who achieve the mission of the organization better and more efficiently because of their
13 passion and a commitment to excellence.

14
15 The Service will lead by how it manages the organization – encouraging and embracing change
16 and innovative ideas, anticipating opportunities, and taking calculated risks. In today’s rapidly
17 changing society, organizations must use the latest technology to communicate, conduct science,
18 and lead in their field and communities. Doing so promotes organizational excellence and allows
19 an organization to focus on the highest priority goals and objectives. Organizational excellence
20 reduces administrative burdens, prevents information overload, and effectively shares
21 institutional knowledge.

22
23 Organizational excellence will result from clear business practices that allow efficient and
24 consistent operations within the Refuge System and that focus on the highest priority goals and
25 objectives. It will reduce administrative burdens, prevent information overload, and effectively
26 share institutional knowledge. Through organizational excellence, the Service can improve
27 efficiency to facilitate better stewardship of the nation’s natural and fiscal resources.

28
29 The Service has the potential to be the premier conservation organization in the world, and the
30 Refuge System will emerge even more so as a benchmark by which other land management
31 organizations measure success. Conservation professionals will covet careers in the Refuge
32 System, not only because of its critical mission, but also because of the quality of its leadership
33 and the efficacy of its practices. There will be a clear vision; streamlined business practices;
34 integrated information sharing; great resilience in the face of change; a motivated workforce; a
35 positive, welcoming, and inclusive culture and high levels of performance towards specific goals
36 and objectives.

37
38 **Organizational Structure**

39
40 Organizational excellence begins with leaders who embrace change and innovative ideas.
41 Service leadership at all levels must anticipate opportunities, remain transparent, and take
42 calculated risks. The Service must be flexible and adaptive.

43
44 Changing times call for a critical review of business management practices and operating
45 procedures, as well as organizational structure. Internal programs including human resources,
46 engineering and water resources divisions must be analyzed in the greater context of effectively



1 providing support across the Refuge System in light of changing times. The Service should strive
2 for consistency and efficiency in budgeting and work planning in order to ensure that a true
3 system of wildlife refuges is maintained.

4
5 The Refuge System has been organized at three distinct levels – field, regional offices, and
6 headquarters – and the overwhelming majority of the Refuge System’s workforce has been
7 concentrated in the field. Indeed, the cultural and organizational emphasis has always been at the
8 field level. The Service’s Regional Offices contain mostly staff support, and supervisory and line
9 authority positions. Although the structure has served the Refuge System well, the evolution to
10 functional specialties, along with the accompanying economies of scale, require that the Refuge
11 System explore a structure that both meets functional specialty needs and enhances career
12 pathways amongst specialty and supervisory or management tracks.

13
14 Recommendation: Review the Refuge System organizational structure and design a
15 business model that more efficiently meets the needs of employees and accomplishes its
16 wildlife conservation mission.

17
18 Recommendation: Identify needed staffing levels for wildlife refuges and ensure that the
19 appropriate range of skills and expertise are available on the ground, at the wildlife
20 refuge level.

21
22 The Refuge System requires a national organizational structure that provides an adequate balance
23 of decentralization, with employees positioned closest to the resource where the work needs to
24 be done, and centralization to gain efficiencies from consolidating similar work into fewer
25 locations. In periods of declining budgets, every Region has explored creative management
26 strategies that bring efficiencies. Such structural analysis must continue and be reinforced.

27
28 Organizations are increasingly part of larger networks that share missions, purposes, and even
29 responsibilities. The field of networked governance looks to the interconnectedness of essentially
30 separate entities and looks at how relationships and connections between them affect the overall
31 network or system. The Refuge System should adopt communication tools that facilitate the
32 exchange of experience, knowledge, and ideas among Service staff, and with practitioners and
33 specialists from other areas and organizations. At present, such “communities of practice” are
34 too often isolated from each other by regional or administrative barriers, or “stovepipes.” These
35 barriers must be broken down. Sharing knowledge is a fundamental strategy for adapting during
36 periods of rapid change. It promotes the transfer of hard-won experience and knowledge from an
37 experienced workforce to a new generation of Service employees, and allows staff to learn how
38 practitioners in other areas have dealt with similar issues.

39
40 Part of this is working across the organizational boundaries of federal agencies by establishing
41 positive working relationships and partnerships. The Service must take the opportunity to
42 leverage other federal dollars across agencies to meet multiple missions, where possible, and
43 contribute to ongoing management. While state wildlife agencies have always been strong
44 partners for the Refuge System, collaboration can be improved. Likewise, incredible
45 opportunities exist to partner with the Departments of Agriculture, Defense and Education. In a
46 similar way, transportation and trails programs, for example, have a major return on investment



1 for the Refuge System. The Refuge System’s organizational structure must include opportunities
2 to weave other agencies into its conservation mission. The American people expect fiscal
3 responsibility, and the Refuge System will take advantage of agencies’ respective expertise to
4 provide efficiencies.

5
6 Recommendation: The Refuge System will find innovative and efficient ways to work
7 with other agencies to establish positive relationships and partnerships.
8

9 All natural resource agencies must look to each other for opportunities to collaborate, where
10 appropriate. Federal agencies can work closely together to further the mission of each while
11 celebrating their differences. Fiscal responsibility dictates that all agencies and resources must be
12 shared to efficiently manage the people’s business. In some circumstances, one environmental
13 education specialist can speak about four agencies while in a classroom instead of four
14 environmental education specialists providing separate programs to the same class. Agencies
15 must take advantage of their respective expertise and capitalize on each other. The American
16 people expect that entities in their government will work with each other to enhance efficiencies.
17 The Service may not have all the resources to implement the ideal organizational structure,
18 however, there is the commitment, fortitude and passion to build it with others.
19

20 **Increased Productivity**

21
22 One of the most powerful tools for modern organizations to enhance productivity is technology;
23 however information technology is only viable if the information, processes and people behind it
24 are also up to par. Antiquated data systems must be replaced with new technology where data
25 can be shared and managed effectively to multiply its value and reduce or eliminate redundancy.
26 Strong information technology support will be needed, with expertise provided by employees
27 skilled in information technology management. While there are challenges associated with new
28 information systems, these can be overcome with strong commitment from leadership.
29

30 The Service can also increase productivity by adopting communication tools that facilitate the
31 exchange of experience, knowledge and ideas among Service staff, and with practitioners and
32 specialists from other areas and organizations. At present, such “communities of practice” are
33 too often isolated from each other by regional or administrative barriers, or “stovepipes.” These
34 barriers must be broken down. Sharing knowledge is a fundamental strategy for adapting during
35 periods of rapid change. It promotes the transfer of hard-won experience and knowledge from an
36 experienced workforce to a new generation of Service employees, and provides examples of how
37 practitioners in other areas have dealt with similar issues.
38

39 New technology can also be used as a means to effectively deliver on-the-ground conservation
40 actions now and in the future. The Service must provide managers with the capacity to try
41 innovative on-the-ground conservation techniques, and allow them to take risks in hopes of
42 developing more effective practices. This is particularly true when it comes to trying to adapt to
43 large-scale threats such as climate change and invasive species.
44



1 Recommendation: Become more productive and efficient in internal and external
2 communications and business processes, using new social media tools, web-based
3 communications and online training.
4

5 Recommendation: Antiquated data systems will be replaced with technology that shares
6 and manages data effectively.
7

8 Recommendation: Identify data management technologies that improve on-the-ground
9 conservation delivery capabilities and empower managers to use them risk-free.
10

11 The use of technology will increase the Refuge System's productivity. But it should not supplant
12 essential personal contact. Being able to meet in person to better connect and build trust will
13 enhance employee morale, public satisfaction and greater fish, wildlife and habitat conservation.
14

15 Excellent organizations operate at peak efficiency by ensuring that the workforce is appropriately
16 sized and placed to do the job at hand. Excellent organizations are also able to change direction
17 quickly when circumstances dictate that change is necessary and prioritize mission-critical work
18 when challenges exceed the fiscal and human resources necessary to address them.
19

20 Recommendation: Mandate an annual review of each Refuge unit's activities to ensure
21 that operations are compliant and mission critical.
22

23 The skills required for refuge management are also evolving and mandate that the Service focus
24 on developing outstanding supervisors and executives. The development of executive skills is as
25 important as technical skills in an ever-changing landscape. The complexities of America's
26 workplace and challenges of land management require that an appropriate balance be struck.
27

28 Recommendation: Invest in providing executive management training to the Refuge
29 System's workforce, especially to those in supervisory positions, and in developing
30 systems to track effective supervisory performance.
31

32 Effective supervisors must provide for the training and development of all employees but should
33 also be supported when they must make the difficult decisions regarding performance standards.
34 All employees, including supervisors and managers, must be held accountable for contributing to
35 this culture of success.
36

37 **The Right Training for the 21st Century** 38

39 A continuous learning culture will be viewed as no less than a critical means of conservation
40 relevancy, and indeed survival in a constantly changing world. The Service needs to ensure that
41 its employees possess the right competencies to address the conservation challenges for the next
42 decade within this landscape of change in the Refuge System. Threats from climate change,
43 declining water quantity/quality and invasive species will require a new and stronger suite of
44 skills, including, for example:
45



- 1 • Skills in collaboration and coalition building to coordinate with a range of public, private
2 and nongovernmental agency partners, including an understanding of the legalities and
3 business implications of formal partnerships.
- 4 • Ability to manage and communicate with “citizen scientists” to enhance the capacity to
5 monitor climatic and ecological variables.
- 6 • Expertise in a range of communications tools, including advances in social media, to
7 educate communities that learn differently than in previous generations.
- 8 • Knowledge of predictive modeling, carbon sequestration and the ability to use GIS.
9 Knowledge of hydrology and water resources protection, and facility and asset
10 management.

11
12 Employee training, continuing education and professional development are mission-critical. This
13 viewpoint has grown significantly due to excellent work of the Service’s National Conservation
14 Training Center in Shepherdstown, West Virginia. The Refuge System has benefited
15 tremendously from sending cohort after cohort of Service employees and managers on wildlife
16 refuges to the various academies and training offered there. Internal training, however, is not the
17 sole source of development opportunities. A number of professional organizations, colleges and
18 universities, and training services offer courses and programs. Regardless of the source, training
19 for employees is, and should be, increasingly offered virtually.

20
21 Recommendation: Evaluate the training opportunities at the National Conservation
22 Training Center and other venues in order to ensure that adequate and appropriate
23 training is available for the skills sets that will be needed in the future.

24
25 Recommendation: Work with colleges, universities and technical schools to build the
26 knowledge base of future employees while developing programs that develop mission
27 critical skills and abilities.

28
29 As our nation changes, fewer people are growing up with experience in the deeply rooted
30 American traditions of hunting and fishing. The Service’s workforce is beginning to reflect these
31 changes. While we embrace a diverse and inclusive workforce, it is important that those with
32 responsibility for managing wildlife refuges understand the historic and current roles of hunters
33 and anglers in fish and wildlife conservation.

34
35 Recommendation: The Service must ensure basic employee training explains the North
36 American Model of Wildlife Conservation while also offering training courses on hunter
37 safety and managing hunting programs on national wildlife refuges.

38
39 Effective and adaptive training is key to organizational learning, but it is not everything an
40 organization needs to learn and grow.

41 42 **Transfer of Intellectual Capital**

43
44 Twenty percent of the Service’s employees that work within the Refuge System are expected to
45 retire by 2014; nearly 45 percent are expected to leave by 2020. Such an exodus will create a
46 critical need to transfer the intellectual capital of today’s leaders to those who follow.



1
2 Managing knowledge and information effectively will guarantee a skilled and efficient
3 workforce for the future. In many cases, effective data sharing and management can eliminate
4 redundancy and lead to economies of scale. Improved knowledge management systems must be
5 developed to allow for more timely and effective sharing of scientific information,
6 accomplishments, and needs both internally and with our external partners.
7

8 The disciplines required to manage the Refuge System can be viewed as a microcosm of all the
9 various functional and scientific practitioners of the Service or really any conservation
10 organization such as biologists, modelers, communicators, or managers. They represent topical
11 “communities of practice” or groups of specialists. Using updated online technologies, these
12 communities can share information, collectively analyze successes and failures and gather
13 virtually to maintain functional networks. Such communities of practice could help bridge
14 knowledge gaps as many employees retire from the workforce.
15

16 Recommendation: Design a knowledge management strategy for the Refuge System that
17 supports communities of practice open to all Service employees and other groups that are
18 relevant and appropriate, including partners, other agencies, and interested citizens.
19

20 Recommendation: Develop and mandate system-wide leadership and position succession
21 processes to ensure learning and knowledge is passed from the departing employee to the
22 successor.
23

24 **Greening Wildlife Refuge Infrastructure and Operations**

25
26 Climate change will intensify existing threats on fish, wildlife, and the ecosystems that sustain
27 them. In September 2010, the Service published its plan to address climate change.
28

29 In order to support the plan’s bold charge, the Service must adopt more sustainable business
30 practices. Valuable efforts are already underway, and the Service must look to employees,
31 partners and the business community for their expertise in refocusing energies and recalibrating
32 activities.
33

34 Recommendation: Support and implement the goals in the Service’s 2010 *Strategic Plan*
35 *for Responding to Accelerating Climate Change* as they apply to mitigation. Specifically,
36 contribute to Goal 5 to achieve carbon neutrality by the year 2020.
37

38 Achieving carbon neutrality will require active steps, including the development of a tool to
39 calculate carbon sequestered and the offset of remaining carbon balances on wildlife refuges.
40

41 Aligning the work of the Refuge System with its environmental values involves more than just
42 contributing to carbon neutrality. First, the Refuge System should innovate to become itself
43 carbon negative and contribute more than its share to the Service’s overarching goal of carbon
44 neutrality. The Refuge System has the advantage of a land base where restoration activities can
45 be prioritized within high priority landscapes that are effective in sequestering carbon. Second,
46 there are a multitude of impacts to the environment and wildlife in addition to the emission of



1 heat-trapping gases that come from Refuge System work and operations. The Service should
2 consider and evaluate these impacts and take action to reduce them.

3
4 Recommendation: Develop a 5-year plan for greening the Refuge System.

5
6 The plan should build off of the Refuge System's step down of the Service's climate change
7 strategic plan and its elements that apply to mitigation. The plan should address the breadth of
8 Refuge System operations and propose solutions for meeting targeted goals for the reduction of
9 environmental impacts. Such options should include:

- 10
11
- 12 • Reduce the number of facilities and other assets that the Refuge System owns and maintains.
 - 13 • Reduce fuel consumption by evaluating old equipment against national or local emissions standards and converting to more efficient vehicles, tractors and equipment on all new purchases.
 - 14 • Opt for replacement equipment that includes new technology, such as GPS, to increase precision and decrease fuel consumption when working or treating large areas.
 - 15 • Reduce travel by making video and teleconferences the primary delivery method for trainings and meetings.
 - 16 • Use sustainably renewable or recycled construction materials, with focus on those that have the smallest carbon footprint to manufacture and recycle.
 - 17 • Recycle 100% of materials such as paper, glass, aluminum and tin. If recycling facilities are not readily available, wildlife refuges can work with local communities to develop a way to recycle.
- 18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 For new construction and operations, the Refuge System must aim higher in its commitment to
27 environmental sustainability. The Refuge System should:

- 28
- 29 • Utilize green technologies and water conservation systems in all deferred maintenance projects.
 - 30 • Meet the Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) certification standards for all new structures and when retrofitting existing structures.
 - 31 • Require Green Seal certification for all Service facilities and contractors.
 - 32 • Use innovative carbon neutral energy sources consistent with maintaining biological diversity, integrity and environmental health.
 - 33 • Convert to clean and more efficient energy technologies like solar and wind power on existing and future infrastructure.
- 34
35
36
37
38

39 **Workforce of the Future**

40
41 Excellent organizations recruit and retain excellent employees. Historically, the Service has
42 drawn employees largely from individuals who had a direct connection with and understanding
43 of the natural world. Future employees may have spent less time outdoors and more time with
44 electronic media than those who have historically been attracted to the Service. Many of these
45 prospective employees may not naturally think of government or the Service as a place to have a
46 career. The Service needs to develop and execute innovative approaches to find and recruit the



1 best and brightest of future employees. These employees should reflect the full diversity of the
2 American people.

3
4 Recommendation: Establish, communicate and track the current baseline and future goals
5 for employee recruitment and retention, especially within specific functions where
6 recruitment has been problematic.

7
8 The Refuge System should recognize and plan for the growth of specialized groups in the
9 workforce. Multidisciplinary work is becoming less common as natural resource management
10 becomes more scientific and expertise more focused. The Refuge System has identified a need
11 for more specialized scientists in areas such as climate change, hydrology and invasive species,
12 among others. It has also identified a need for expertise in non-science areas such as
13 environmental education, public-use planning, asset management and business management.

14
15 Recommendation: The Refuge System must develop effective strategies for recruiting
16 and deploying specialists as a fundamental part of its workforce planning efforts.

17
18 In the past, the Refuge System has also encouraged and even required employees to physically
19 move to achieve certain positions. While a breadth of experience is important, especially to
20 employees competing for senior level management positions, it is becoming increasingly more
21 difficult for employees to relocate. The increase in the number of dual career families as well as
22 recent economic trends in the housing market has significantly impacted employee mobility. The
23 Refuge System should continue to encourage employees to gain a diversity of experiences during
24 their careers; however, there must also be career ladders and opportunities that can enable
25 employees to achieve higher graded positions without relocating.

26 27 **A Diverse and Inclusive Workforce**

28
29 The Refuge System will become known as a workplace that welcomes employees from all
30 backgrounds. The Service must recruit and retain a workforce that reflects the ethnic, age and
31 language diversity of America in the 21st century. There has to be recognition of different
32 cultural values and the importance of a workforce that resembles the community in which it
33 exists. This will not only enhance public support for the Refuge System, but will also ensure a
34 workforce of the best and brightest minds. An inclusive workforce is a powerful tool in being
35 relevant to a changing America.

36
37 Recommendation: Place special emphasis on reaching youth at the high school and
38 college levels in diverse communities to expose them to conservation careers and
39 promote the Service as the conservation employer of choice.

40
41 Employment programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps (YCC), AmeriCorps and
42 work/study programs should be expanded not only to provide temporary employment, but also to
43 ready students for conservation careers. The Service has effective recruiting tools for students.
44 Others are available and successful but often underutilized in recruiting. The focus should be on
45 students with extraordinary potential that traditional recruitment or selection processes might
46 have overlooked.



1
2 Ultimately, leaders inspire others. The Service has long recognized that mentoring programs are
3 a step along a development continuum to prepare Service leaders for senior and executive
4 positions. However, there is presently no formal Service or Refuge System-wide mentoring
5 program that could help coach and guide employees towards greater leadership roles. Such
6 mentoring can be especially critical for recruiting and retaining a diverse workforce. In late 2010,
7 the Department of the Interior implemented a mentoring program that should be expanded within
8 the Service and the Refuge System as one step in cultivating a new cadre of Refuge System
9 leaders.

10
11 Recommendation: More than double the number of minorities and people with
12 disabilities working for the Refuge System within the next 10 years, resulting in a
13 workforce representation matching the civilian labor workforce in the System's main
14 occupation series.

15
16 Recommendation: Develop and implement a Service-wide strategy for targeting diverse
17 groups of conservation professionals in government and beyond to assist in raising
18 awareness about conservation careers with the Service and develop sustainable
19 relationships with minority-focused conservation organizations.

20
21 Recommendation: Implement a mentoring program that supplements the Department of
22 the Interior mentoring program and other efforts underway in the Service.

23
24 The Service recognizes that it is imperative to move forward with innovation and a stronger
25 commitment to creating a diverse workforce as part of building a culture of inclusiveness. With a
26 diverse and committed workforce, the Refuge System will capture the diversity of thought and
27 perspectives that are unique to different cultures, experiences and backgrounds. As a result, the
28 Refuge System will excel in engaging all cultures in its wildlife conservation mission.
29



1 **Chapter 6**
2 **Leadership in a Landscape of Change**

3
4 The spectacular terrain, sparkling waters and diverse habitats that make up the National Wildlife
5 Refuge System and support the nation’s treasured wildlife are borne of a rich and proud history.
6 Bold and daring visionaries struck out to challenge the establishment of the time to create
7 something better and greater than them. Teddy Roosevelt used the Antiquities Act to protect
8 lands for conservation; Rachel Carson raised the collective awareness of a nation; and Aldo
9 Leopold and his constantly evolving ideas gave birth to a land ethic that has become the
10 cornerstone of our conservation ideals.

11
12 These leaders and others often took action that was not always accepted or supported, but they
13 were people of great vision and conviction. Their actions and words have become central to the
14 conservation legacy of North America. As the Service embraces a renewed vision for National
15 Wildlife Refuge System, this legacy must be remembered and built upon to empower the actors
16 of change in the conservation community to think beyond their current bounds.

17
18 At the heart of this vision is ensuring that national wildlife refuges remain a cornerstone of
19 America’s conservation estate. Partners strategically addressing priority resource objectives can
20 maintain functional landscapes that support robust fish and wildlife populations. As a leader in
21 this endeavor, the Service must cultivate an organizational culture in which conservation
22 professionals covet careers in the Refuge System because of its vision, excellence, and
23 leadership.

24
25 The national treasure of the Refuge System faces an uncertain future. Society and landscapes are
26 changing; threats to natural resources are escalating faster than the reaction time of restoration;
27 federal budgets are declining; and pressures on employees are mounting. The challenges are so
28 vast that it will take more than one iconic leader to overcome them; more than one Teddy
29 Roosevelt, more than one Rachel Carson, more than one Aldo Leopold. Everyone must be a
30 leader.

31
32 Every employee must embrace the courage and character of those iconic leaders of the past.
33 Together as part of the Service and with partners, a new conservation legacy will be charted for
34 the next generation. Individuals and organizations must remain visionary, dynamic, and
35 accountable in order to meet the challenges ahead. In doing so, remember that change is the only
36 constant.

37
38 **Leadership Excellence**

39
40 The Refuge System’s Guiding Principles recognize the very essence of leadership: “We are
41 public servants. We owe our employers, the American people, hard work, integrity, fairness and
42 a voice in the protection of their trust resources.” The Guiding Principles go on to state:
43 “Employees are our most valuable resource. They are respected and deserve an empowering,
44 mentoring, and caring work environment.” Those sentiments must be carried out in ways that
45 will both nurture leadership and foster a positive work environment at every level.

1 The leaders of tomorrow must serve from a foundation based on integrity and sound land
2 stewardship in service to others and their communities. They must be adaptive and visionary,
3 inspiring employees and partners to exceed what is necessary and seek what is possible. They
4 must possess agility, passion and exceptional communication skills. They must be skilled in the
5 use of technology to enhance communications and overall management. They will model and
6 expect accountability of themselves and staff. Finally, those leaders must be committed to the
7 development of the next generation of conservation stewards.

8
9 Just as leadership is welcomed at every level, this organization remains indebted by the essential
10 contributions of the dedicated people who facilitate its day-to-day achievements. Consider the
11 critical role administrative workers play in bringing on temporary and seasonal staff; the
12 interpretive and environmental education programs given by the student working on an
13 internship who reaches hundreds of visitors over the summer, or the habitat management that is
14 achieved by the wage grade workers who repair, maintain and operate our equipment. These
15 dedicated, innovative, and resilient employees are the face of the agency and the reason for its
16 success. They each play a critical role and deserve positive, proactive leadership and
17 opportunities to develop themselves and their skills.

18
19 Leadership development will not be seen as a “collateral duty,” but will be central to the culture
20 of the Refuge System. Employees will serve in an environment that values openness and
21 transparency and the contributions each employee makes to the fulfillment of the mission.
22 Leaders will value diversity of personal and professional experience. As such, they will seek out
23 leadership excellence from beyond the Refuge System and will encourage rising leaders to
24 broaden their experience within and outside of the Refuge System. Innovation and well-reasoned
25 risk taking will be embraced and rewarded. Change will continue, and leaders must anticipate it
26 to make positive changes that benefit fish and wildlife and their habitats.

27 28 **Conservation Leadership**

29
30 Conservation leadership in 21st century America is far different than it was 50 years ago. Federal
31 agencies have long been compelled to do the most with the people and funding they receive.
32 However, now accounting for agency performance has become more formal and directed.

33
34 At the same time, some futurists have predicted that early in the 21st century the entire body of
35 human knowledge would double every week. Moreover, the Refuge System of the 21st century
36 must find ways to manage environmental challenges such as climate change, invasive species,
37 biotechnology and water quality and quantity, to name a few.

38
39 Because national wildlife refuges are part of a larger conservation estate, the Service must lead
40 and manage them in the context of the landscapes in which they exist. To meet the conservation
41 community’s shared goal for functional landscapes with viable fish and wildlife populations, the
42 Refuge System must participate in collaborative partnerships to support specific landscape-scale
43 goals and objectives. Seeing the Refuge System as part of a bigger “whole” will most effectively
44 contribute to the management of habitats and species across the landscapes in which wildlife
45 refuges are a part of. Human and fiscal resources will be focused strategically on priorities, and
46 the Service will manage existing wildlife refuges and acquire new conservation lands to meet



1 specific goals for habitats and species. The Service will also be better positioned to use the
2 Refuge System to ensure fish and wildlife populations have the opportunity to respond and adapt
3 with changing climates.

4
5 Employees must be well-versed in the principles of strategic habitat conservation and will help
6 lead the larger conservation community to set objectives, design conservation models, deliver
7 conservation on the ground, monitor to determine results and test assumptions through targeted
8 research. The Service must remain committed to operating in a collaborative and adaptive
9 framework. Refuge System leaders at all levels will be effective members and beneficiaries of
10 collaborative landscape conservation cooperatives, where shared goals within a landscape are
11 attained by filling critical information gaps that then allow the Refuge System to more
12 effectively target on-the-ground delivery efforts.

13
14 Together, all of these factors mean that today's conservation leaders not only must exhibit
15 professionalism and vision, but they also must become integral parts of their communities and
16 learn to communicate clearly and frequently. This will increasingly mean using cutting-edge
17 technology that did not exist decades ago. Professional development becomes imperative in such
18 a climate.

19 20 **Developing Others**

21
22 Organizational excellence and leadership are inextricably linked. The latter begins with leaders
23 who embrace change and new ideas, anticipate opportunities, remain transparent and take
24 calculated risks in a way that efficiently achieves the mission while evolving towards new
25 challenges and opportunities. The development of leaders is integral at all levels of the
26 organization and is not limited by job series or grade level. All employees are entitled, and
27 encouraged, to pursue such opportunities.

28
29 As the world's premier scientifically based wildlife conservation agency, the Service has focused
30 on technical credibility, and the agency will continue to be known in this way. The Service is a
31 recognized leader in the use of habitat management techniques and tools, including the use of
32 prescribed fire. Many talented employees emerge as leaders in biological planning, conservation
33 design and modeling and monitoring. The Service must continue to support these scientific
34 endeavors – they are the foundation to the agency's adaptive conservation delivery activities.

35
36 Service leaders have always led from a foundation of integrity coupled with adherence to sound
37 principles of land stewardship. The Service supports and encourages developmental
38 opportunities such as details, shadow assignments and job swaps as methods to expand
39 employees' leadership horizons. Moreover, the Service recognizes that leadership development
40 programs are integral to the organization, including for entry-level positions. Supervisors and
41 managers should support individual development and create an environment that fosters self-
42 motivation, high morale, continuous improvement and each employee's contribution to the team.

43
44 Programs such as Stepping Up to Leadership (SUTL) and the Advanced Leadership
45 Development Program (ALDP) have been excellent internal sources for leadership training, and
46 they should continue to evolve as the demands and needs for leadership changes. Much the same



1 can be said for the three-day Leadership Challenge Workshop offered at the National
2 Conservation Training Center and at other Service locations around the country. Further, internal
3 leadership training is not the sole source of development opportunities. A number of professional
4 organizations, including, for example, The Wildlife Society's Leadership Institute, offer courses
5 and programs.

6
7 Recommendation: Encourage qualified employees to apply in greater numbers for
8 leadership training and development programs. Also encourage employees to apply for
9 the Department of the Interior's Senior Executive Service Candidate Development
10 Program.

11
12 Supervisors are encouraged to give all the support needed to ensure that the most qualified
13 people do, indeed, apply for advancement and leadership training. The low number of applicants
14 for such leadership training may well account for the small number of individuals with Refuge
15 System field experience who hold the top leadership positions with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife
16 Service and the Department of the Interior. While the Refuge System represents about 50 percent
17 of the Service's workforce and is the land base of the Service, it has far less representation on the
18 leadership of the Service or the Department.

19
20 Recommendation: Develop executive leaders who can guide the work of a variety of
21 specialists toward broad, landscape-level conservation goals.

22
23 The Service must also continue to embrace the need for leadership development as critical
24 component of the organization's philosophy. There are a number of identified pathways for
25 employees to follow in their careers. However leaders must model the way and provide the
26 necessary resources for leadership development, including encouraging employees to attend
27 developmental programs, even when it is difficult for the organization or leader. Leaders must
28 also become personally involved in employee development programs, serving as instructors,
29 mentors and coaches.

30
31 In addition, the agency must foster an earnest culture through increased focus on leadership
32 competencies. The Service has developed a model for identifying and developing a host of
33 competencies in its employees and emerging leadership. Truly though, the conservation leader of
34 the future is not the same as it was twenty years ago.

35
36 While some of the intangible values of leadership – honesty, integrity and trustworthiness –
37 persist, there are other values leaders must unequivocally exhibit. Leaders must foster a positive
38 work environment where calculated risks are encouraged, mistakes are used as developmental
39 experiences, brainstorming and innovation are rewarded, learning is supported and employees
40 are regularly recognized for their achievements. Leaders must put their employee's concerns,
41 work/life balance and developmental needs first. Thus, they recognize that mentoring and
42 coaching is one of the best uses of their time. As being an effective leader can at times be
43 difficult, leaders will need strength in conflict resolution, courage to risk potential failure and
44 strong support from others as a result of their demonstrated performance. Tomorrow's leaders
45 will be more aware of their personal strengths and weaknesses, and they will model behaviors of
46 continued self-discovery and improvement. In light of all this change, the Service should assure



1 that the competencies developed in its employees and leaders reflect the needs of future
2 conservation leaders.

3
4 Recommendation: Mandate the use of the Service’s Leadership Competency
5 Development Model and the Leadership Pathways reports as part of all employee
6 development planning and implementation.

7
8 Recommendation: Review the Service’s Leadership Competency Development Model
9 and, if needed, supplement it to reflect additional priority competencies identified for
10 future leaders of the Refuge System.

11 **Managing People**

12
13
14 Leaders truly embrace the practice of treating employees as the most valuable resource – above
15 all else. Leaders will assess the emerging challenges of conservation and strive to recruit and
16 develop employees that possess the tools and resources needed.

17
18 Supervisors and managers must encourage leadership at every level and value a diversity of
19 thought. They must ensure that the Service is known as a workplace that welcomes employees
20 from all backgrounds. The Service will be the premier employer of choice because its
21 workplaces will be professional, collaborative, constructive, welcoming, creative, and fun places
22 where people from all portions of the citizenry can find a home. By creating this culture, the
23 Service will attract and retain employees that represent the diversity that exists in the American
24 public.

25
26 Recommendation: Mandate enrollment in the Project Leader Academy for all new
27 national wildlife refuge or complex managers within their first 12 months.

28
29 Recommendation: Invest in and mandate coaching and conflict management training for
30 all supervisors.

31
32 With a diverse and committed workforce, the organization will be able to capture the diversity of
33 thought and perspectives that may be uniquely presented by different cultures, experiences, and
34 backgrounds – better positioning the organization to embrace all cultures in its mission and
35 ensure that it remains relevant to the public. The Service must work together with the state and
36 federal agencies and organizations that share a conservation mission to create this professional
37 culture and to implement successful recruiting efforts that will ensure the collective conservation
38 workforce represents Americans from all walks of life.

39 **Expanding the Leadership Horizon**

40
41
42 To achieve a renewed paradigm of leadership, a culture of continuous and comprehensive
43 leadership development must be evident internally and externally to the organization.
44 Conservation professionals covet careers in the Refuge System because of its mission and the
45 quality of its leadership, and organizational excellence allows efficient and effective achievement
46 of conservation through streamlined business practices, integrated information sharing and high



1 performance. As supervisors and managers maintain a focus on employees and cultivating
2 leadership, the Service will be the premier conservation organization in the world and the
3 benchmark by which other land management organizations measure success.

4
5 Despite best efforts to anticipate and embrace change, today's Refuge System workforce – and
6 certainly the workforce of America – is constantly evolving ahead of the curve of progress.
7 These and other dynamic conditions make leadership development a constant and critical factor
8 in the success of the National Wildlife Refuge System.

9
10 Strong leadership is necessary to achieve organizational excellence and excellent organizations
11 continually strive to develop strong leadership skills in all its members. Strong leaders foster a
12 culture of transparency and thrive in the face of uncertainty. Leaders in the future will look at
13 change as an opportunity rather than a threat. They will accept and embrace evolving conditions
14 and will use communication to effectively lead others through difficult times. They will be best
15 characterized as doing the right things in an environment of constant change.

16
17 The focus must remain not just on the organization but also on the partnerships and alliances
18 around it. Current and future networks of partnerships will rely heavily on new communication
19 technologies and innovative approaches to share information and promote participation. Over the
20 course of the last 20 years, the Service has continually moved into a broader and more
21 collaborative vision of wildlife conservation, understanding that the agency is an integral part of
22 an interconnected, and even global, web of conservation partners. Ultimately, no single group,
23 agency, country or the Service can achieve wildlife conservation in isolation.

