

## P.O. BOX 750, YREKA, CA 96097 PHONE 530-842-8005



## KLAMATH COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS 305 Main Street, Klamath Falls, OR 97601

Phone 541-883-5100 | Fax 541-883-5163

May 30, 2014

The Honorable Dianne Feinstein 331 Hart Senate Office Building United States Senate Washington, D.C. 20510

Re: Upper Klamath River Basin Agreement

Dear Senator Feinstein:

As you may know, the Governor of Oregon and members of the Oregon Congressional Delegation chartered a task force last year to address water supply, power rates, and other pressing issues in the Upper Klamath River Basin. On April 18, the Secretary of the Interior, Governor Kitzhaber, Senators Wyden and Merkley, and various others met outside of Klamath Falls to hold yet another signing ceremony to celebrate the product of the task force: the Upper Basin Comprehensive Agreement.

This latest agreement was heralded as being the ever-elusive "last, missing piece in the puzzle" that finally resolves long-standing conflicts between tribes and irrigators and between farms and fish. Unfortunately, this agreement is just one more empty promise of "peace on the river," providing only further distraction and delay from moving forward with realistic solutions.

Amidst the great fanfare over this new agreement, there has been no mention of its fatal flaw: Section 10 of the Upper Basin Agreement expressly ties its effectiveness to Congressional approval and funding for both the Klamath Hydroelectric Settlement Agreement (KHSA) and the Klamath Basin Restoration Agreement (KBRA).

Senator Merkley previously introduced legislation to authorize the KHSA and KBRA. No action was taken on that legislation, and it died an anonymous death at the end of the 112<sup>th</sup> Congress in December 2012. Senator Wyden has now introduced new legislation as Senate Bill 2379 that is destined for a similar fate. The reality of the situation is that the only action taken by either House of Congress on these Klamath River proposals was a vote in the House of Representatives in 2011 to deny funding for dam removal studies.

## Upper Klamath River Basin Agreement May 30, 2014 Page 2

Dam removal remains a fascination for some narrow interests in the Klamath Basin and some of the current political decision-makers in Washington, D.C., Sacramento, and Salem. However, this irrational stubbornness cannot outweigh the exorbitant costs, environmental risks, and losses of water supply, flood control, and clean energy that would accompany dam removal. Indeed, simple common sense is an insurmountable barrier to these foolish proposals that have no physical connection to water supply reliability in the Upper Basin.

As the locally-elected representatives for the vast majority of the Klamath River watershed, we ask you to join the growing opposition to the KHSA and KBRA – an opposition that is shared by an overwhelming percentage of our own constituents. Once this folly has run its course, we remain open to working with you and other parties to renew negotiations on the actual future of the Klamath River.

Must be test
Michael N. Kobseff,
Chairman A. Constione
Marcia H. Armstrong, Vice-Chair
Brandon a. Cruss Brandon Criss, Supervisor-District 1
Grace Bennett, Supervisor-District 4