

1 KRISTEN L. BOYLES (CSBA # 158450)
PATTI A. GOLDMAN (WSBA # 24426)

2 *[Admitted Pro Hac Vice]*

ASHLEY BENNETT (WSBA # 53748)

3 *[Admitted Pro Hac Vice]*

Earthjustice

4 810 Third Avenue, Suite 610

Seattle, WA 98104

5 Ph: (206) 343-7340 | Fax: (206) 343-1526

kboyles@earthjustice.org

6 pgoldman@earthjustice.org

abennett@earthjustice.org

7
8 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs Pacific Coast Federation
of Fishermen's Associations, Institute for Fisheries
Resources, and Yurok Tribe*

9 AMY CORDALIS (CSBA # 321257)

10 Yurok Tribe

190 Klamath Blvd.

11 P.O. BOX 1027

Klamath, CA 95548

12 Ph: (707) 482-1350 | Fax: (707) 482-1377

acordalis@yuroktribe.nsn.us

13 DANIEL CORDALIS (CSBA #321722)

14 Cordalis Law, P.C.

2910 Springer Drive

15 McKinleyville, CA 95519

Ph: (303) 717-4618

16 dcordalislaw@gmail.com

17 *Attorneys for Plaintiff Yurok Tribe*

18 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
19 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

20 YUROK TRIBE, PACIFIC COAST
21 FEDERATION OF FISHERMEN'S
ASSOCIATIONS, and INSTITUTE FOR
22 FISHERIES RESOURCES,

23 Plaintiffs,

24 v.

Case No. 3:19-cv-04405-WHO

Related Cases: No. C16-cv-06863-WHO
No. C16-cv-04294-WHO

[PROPOSED] ORDER ISSUING
PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

1 U.S. BUREAU OF RECLAMATION, and
2 NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES SERVICE,

3 Defendants.
4

5
6 This matter is before the Court on the motion for a preliminary injunction filed by
7 plaintiffs Yurok Tribe, Pacific Coast Federation of Fishermen’s Associations, and Institute for
8 Fisheries Resources (hereinafter “Yurok Tribe”). After reviewing the briefs, declarations, record
9 materials, and hearing oral argument and for the reasons in the Court’s opinion, the Court issues
10 this preliminary injunction in order to prevent or reduce irreparable harm to threatened Southern
11 Oregon/Northern California Coast Coho Salmon (“Coho”), until this case is resolved. To
12 prevent or reduce irreparable harm, defendant Bureau of Reclamation (“Bureau”) is enjoined to
13 revert to and operate the Klamath Project under its 2013-2023 Klamath Project operations plan,
14 supplemented by the flows required by the injunction issued in *Yurok Tribe v. Bureau of*
15 *Reclamation (“Yurok I”)*, No. 16-cv-6863-WHO, ECF 70, specifically: (1) surface or deep
16 flushing flows every year to disrupt the habitat supporting the polychaete worms that host *C.*
17 *shasta*; and (2) emergency dilution flows when certain thresholds are met or exceeded, as set out
18 below.

19 In *Yurok I*, I found that these two types of mitigation flows were supported by the best
20 available science, which was compiled by the Fish and Wildlife Service (“FWS”) in four
21 technical memorandum that were subjected to peer review as part of a process overseen by the
22 FWS. A Disease Technical Advisory Team (“DTAT”) comprised of technical experts from the
23 federal defendants and the Yurok, Karuk, and Hoopa Valley Tribes was convened to develop
24

1 possible management actions to control *C. shasta* in the Klamath River. The Tribal technical
2 experts on the DTAT relied on the technical memos to develop draft *Measures to Reduce*
3 *Ceratanova Shasta Infection of Klamath River Salmonids: A Guidance Document* (Nov. 9, 2016)
4 (“*Guidance Document*”), which was further peer reviewed in a process overseen by the Bureau.
5 The *Guidance Document* provides the scientific rationale for these flushing and emergency
6 dilution flows, drawing from the technical memos. The 2013 and 2019 biological opinions also
7 provide scientific support for flushing and emergency dilution flows as needed mitigation
8 measures to reduce *C. shasta* densities and infections. The independent peer review of the
9 *Guidance Document* commissioned by the Bureau found both types of disease management
10 flows to be sound and scientifically supported. Peer Review at 8, 9, 13.

11 The Bureau’s 2019-2024 Plan is estimated to provide surface flushing flows in most
12 years. NMFS found in the reinitiated consultation that surface flushing flows are supported by
13 the best available science and are an effective mechanism to prevent outbreaks of *C. shasta*
14 infections and disease. 2019 BiOp 161. Disrupting the life cycle of the *C. shasta* parasite is in
15 keeping with the SONCC Coho recovery plan, which calls for such action. Final Recovery Plan
16 for SONCC Coho Salmon at 6-3 (2014).

17 The Court finds, based on the best available science, that releasing flows in the winter-
18 spring time frame to disrupt the life cycle of *C. shasta* by way of disturbing the habitat of the
19 polychaete host worms is likely the most effective mitigation measure to prevent *C. shasta*
20 infections. Accordingly, the Court enjoins the Bureau to implement either surface flushing flows
21 modeled on management guidance 1 or the deep flushing or armor disturbing flows modeled on
22 management guidance 2 contained the *Guidance Document*. A surface flushing flow shall have
23 a minimum flow of 6030 cubic feet per second (“cfs”) from Iron Gate Dam for 72 hours. The
24

1 surface flushing flows are the minimum that is required. Because the deep flushing and armor
2 disturbing flows depend on the availability of water at critical time junctures, the Court orders
3 the Bureau to release deep flushing or armor disturbing flows when water conditions permit.
4 The timing of such releases is left to the Bureau's discretion to enable the Bureau to take
5 advantage of tributary accretions and snow melt and to address legitimate safety concerns.

6 In addition to requiring winter-spring flushing flows, the Court orders the Bureau to
7 release emergency dilution flows between April 1-June 15 (or when 80% of wild juvenile
8 Chinook Salmon are estimated to have outmigrated past the Kinsman Rotary Screw Trap) when
9 the thresholds set out below are met or exceeded. The independent peer review determined that
10 the emergency dilution flows required under the 2017 injunction are scientifically sound.
11 Requiring emergency dilution flows is consistent with the 2013 and 2019 biological opinions,
12 which both have a real-time disease management program that can recommend dilution flows to
13 address *C. shasta* outbreaks. The 2019-2024 Plan, like its predecessor however, sets aside no
14 water for emergency dilution flows. The Bureau currently locks in an irrigation allocation by
15 April 1st and Bureau policies and the biological opinions provide that the irrigation allocation
16 will not be reduced for the rest of the water year. In dry years, emergency dilution flows have
17 not occurred, even when they have been needed to reduce *C. shasta* spore concentrations and
18 infections, as in 2015. The Court orders the Bureau either to reserve a sufficient amount of water
19 for emergency dilution flows or to condition the irrigation allocation so that emergency dilution
20 flows will occur if conditions warrant.

21 An emergency dilution flow shall meet the parameters set out in paragraph 14(a) of the
22 Order Modifying February 8, 2017 Injunction in *Yurok I*, ECF 70.

23 Water releases to achieve 3,000 cfs at IGD shall be implemented immediately if
24 the disease thresholds are met and flows at IGD are below 3,000 cfs. If flows at

1 IGD already exceed 3,000 cfs, and have been at or above 3,000 cfs for at least
2 seven days, flows to achieve 4,000 cfs at IGD shall be implemented. Flows at
3 IGD shall be maintained or increased from 3,000 cfs to 4,000 cfs if disease levels
4 remain above disease threshold criteria. If disease levels become reduced below
5 the paragraph 14.c. thresholds, flows at IGD shall be reduced slowly while
6 disease rates are monitored. Release of Reserve Water shall not count against the
EWA and Reserve Water volume shall be capped at 50 TAF. If the 50 TAF of
Reserve Water is expended before the 80% outmigration date as described in
paragraph 14.d. below, the Bureau shall confer with the Parties to evaluate the
feasibility and desirability of utilizing other water sources to prolong emergency
dilution flows.¹

7 It is further ordered that the mitigation measures shall not interfere with conditions
8 necessary to protect endangered sucker fish as determined by NMFS in either the 2013 or 2019
9 biological opinions.

10 The 2017 injunction had two alternative disease threshold criteria that triggered the
11 requirement to provide emergency dilution flows when exceeded: (1) infection rates; and (2)
12 spore concentrations. Yurok Tribe has submitted a technical analysis of scientific advances since
13 issuance of the 2017 injunction and recommended changes to the thresholds made by the
14 independent peer review and by FWS in a technical memorandum responding to the 2018 motion
15 to modify the 2017 injunction. I conclude that the emerging science supports modifying the
16 thresholds to make them more precise. The modifications make it less likely the thresholds will
17 be met or exceeded and emergency dilution flows required. Accordingly, the 2017 injunction
18 will be modified to incorporate the following thresholds, in place of the thresholds in that
19 injunction:

20 Emergency dilution flows of 3,000 cfs for one week (or an increase of 1,000 cfs if flows
21 are above 2,000 cfs as specified in the biological opinion) shall be implemented when the
22

23 ¹ Paragraph 14(d) describes the methodology that FWS was developing to estimate when the
24 80% outmigration has occurred.

1 following threshold criteria are met:

2
3 1. Either

- 4 a. Spore concentrations at any point exceed 5 spores per liter for
5 genotype 2 (Coho-infecting), or 10 spores per liter of genotype 1
6 (Chinook-infecting) in any single weekly sample event, OR
7 b. spore concentrations of any genotype exceed 10 spores per liter in
8 aggregate for two consecutive weekly sampling periods; OR
9 c. Prevalence of infection with a DNA copy of at least log 2 of all
10 captured juvenile Chinook Salmon (both wild and hatchery) exceeds
11 20% in aggregate for the preceding week at the Kinsman Rotary Screw
12 Trap.

13 AND

- 14 2. Maximum daily water temperatures exceed 16°C as measured at Iron Gate
15 Dam or Seiad real-time stations.
16 3. The thresholds described in (1) and (2) apply only to sites located at Seiad
17 Valley and upriver. If these thresholds are exceeded further downriver,
18 the Bureau will provide a dilution flow unless the FASTA team
19 determines that the additional flow is not likely to measurably reduce *C.*
20 *shasta* risks.
21 4. In the event that Iron Gate Dam flows are already above 2,000 when
22 threshold criteria are met, Iron Gate Dam flows will be increased by 1,000
23 cfs over baseline biological opinion values, but shall not fall below 3,000
24 cfs for the duration of the dilution flow event.

25 Yurok Tribe technical staff have developed these more precise thresholds to respond to
26 the emerging science and to comments made by FWS experts and in the independent peer
review. In order to provide the parties an opportunity to discuss other ways to respond to the
emerging science and refine the thresholds, it is ordered that the technical experts for the parties
will confer and submit to the Court within three weeks any changes to the proposed order based
on the best available science to refine the parameters of the required emergency dilution flow
mitigation measures and in particular modifications to the thresholds.

It is further ordered that the Bureau will work with the Tribes and other technical experts
on *C. shasta* in the Klamath River to develop and fund research and monitoring activities

1 associated with the mitigation measures required by this injunction in order to assess their
2 efficacy and ascertain whether other mitigation measures or modifications are needed. The
3 Bureau will provide a written report on these research and monitoring activities to the Court on a
4 quarterly basis.

5
6
7 IT IS SO ORDERED this ____ day of _____, 2019.

8
9
10 _____
11 WILLIAM H. ORRICK
12 United States District Judge
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

1 Presented by:

2 /s/ Patti A. Goldman

3 KRISTEN L. BOYLES (CSBA # 158450)

PATTI A. GOLDMAN (WSBA # 24426)

4 *[Admitted Pro Hac Vice]*

ASHLEY BENNETT (WSBA # 53748)

5 *[Admitted Pro Hac Vice]*

Earthjustice

6 810 Third Avenue, Suite 610

Seattle, WA 98104

7 Ph: (206) 343-7340 | Fax: (206) 343-1526

kboyles@earthjustice.org

8 pgoldman@earthjustice.org

9 abennett@earthjustice.org

10 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs Pacific Coast Federation of Fishermen's Associations, Institute for Fisheries Resources, and Yurok Tribe*

11 /s/ Amy Cordalis

12 AMY CORDALIS (CSBA # 321257)

Yurok Tribe

13 190 Klamath Blvd.

P.O. Box 1027

14 Klamath, CA 95548

Ph: (707) 482-1350 | Fax: (707) 482-1377

15 acordalis@yuroktribe.nsn.us

16 /s/ Daniel Cordalis

DANIEL CORDALIS (CSBA # 321722)

17 Cordalis Law, P.C.

2910 Springer Drive

18 McKinleyville, CA 95519

Ph: (303) 717-4618

19 dcordalislaw@gmail.com

20 *Attorneys for Plaintiff Yurok Tribe*